To expand on my an swer to Sam's question at the end of lecture #2, here is an example. Recall for first-order geometric theories T, T' a candidate def ^N for Hom (T,T') is given by topos theory as follows

Hom(T,T') := Hom(B(T'), B(T)) $\cong \{ models of Tin B(T') \}.$

I argued that the topos theoretic approach may provide supprising examples of morphisms $T \longrightarrow T'$ that might not appear natural from a purely logical point of view. Here is one such: let T = grp, the theory of groups, and T' = XocRng, the theory of local rings. Then since B(T') is the Zaviski topos Zar, the above calculation gives

 $Hom(Grp, ZocRng) \cong \{guoup objects in Zar\}$

But gwup objects in Zar anise in algebraic geometry: an <u>algebraic group</u> (e.g. $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$) is a group object in Schemes over \mathbb{C} , and in particular in Zar, so every algebraic group gives vise to a morphism $Grp \rightarrow ZocRng$. This doesn't seem obvious without knowing $\mathcal{P}(ZocRng) \cong Zar$, but may be there is a purely logical way to see it?