In this final lecture we sketch the poor of our main application of the homological algebra. That has been developed over the course of the semester:

<u>Theorem</u> (Sewe) Let (A, m, k) be a nuetherian local ring. Then A is regular (i.e. non-singular) if and only if gl-dim $A < \infty$, and in this case

ql.dimA = dimA

The part left to pure is that A is regular \iff gl-dim(A) < ∞ . We begin with a reminder on regularity:

Theorem / Definition (See Atiyah & MacDonald "Introduction to Commutative Algebra" 11.22) Let (A,M,k) be a Noethenian local ring. Then A is <u>regular</u> if the following equivalent conditions are satisfied, with d = dim (A),

> (i) G_m(A) := A/m ⊕ m/m² ⊕ ··· is isomorphic as a graded k-algebrato k[xy···, xd].

(ii) $\dim_k(m/m^2) = d$

(iii) m can be generated by clelements as an ideal.

<u>Remark</u> If $X = Z(f_{1},...,f_{p}) \subseteq k^{m}$ where $f_{i} \in k[x_{1},...,x_{m}]$ and $P \in X$, $A = O_{X,p}$ then regularity of A is equivalent (for k alg. closed) to the Jacobian $\binom{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}$ having rank m-dim X at P_{i} i.e. the usual notion of nonsingularity in geometry.

 (\mathbf{I})

Example
$$A = k[[x_1, ..., x_n]]$$
 is local of dimension n , and

$$[m]_{m^2} = \frac{(x_1, ..., x_n)^n}{(x_1, ..., x_n)^n} \cong kx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus kx_n$$
so $\dim_k(m]_{m^2}) = n$ and A is regular.
Non-example $A = k[[u_V]]/u_V$
 $[m]_{m^2} = \frac{(u_V)}{(u_V)^n} = \frac{ku \oplus ku^2 \oplus \dots}{(\# k_V \oplus k_V^2 \oplus \dots)} / \frac{ku^2 \oplus \dots}{(\# k_V \oplus k_V^2 \oplus \dots)}$
 $\cong ku \oplus kV$
So $\dim_k(m]_{m^2}) = 2 \neq 1 = d(mA, so A is not regular. Geometrically, (m]_{m^2})^n$
is the transpert space to the origin, and A is the completion of the curve $u_V = 0$ in A^2
 $at the origin, i.e.$
and the basis u^n , v^n of the tangent space corresponds to the two local tangenbat O .
Remark Geometrically, A accuse as the completion of the local integers d and curve
 $u_V^2 = O_{n,n}$

2)

$$\operatorname{ql.dim}(A) < \infty \implies A \operatorname{regular}.$$

For any Noethenian local ring we have $\dim(A) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(m/m^2)$ so the strategy is, assuming gl.dim(A) < ∞ , to prove two inequalities

$$\dim_{k}(m/m^{2}) \leq \operatorname{gl-dim}(A) \leq \operatorname{dim}(A)$$

$$(2)$$

The second is a consequence of the Austanclev – Buchsbaum formula, which says for any f.g. A-module M with $pvoj-clim(M) < \infty$ we have

$$prof.dim(M) + depth(M) = clepth(A) \leq dim(A)$$

and g.dim(A) = pvoj.dim(k) from last lecture. We will focus our attention instead on the proof of O. It will follow from

Theorem A Let
$$s = dim_k (m/m^2)$$
. Then

$$\operatorname{clim}_{k}\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A}(k,k) \geq \binom{2}{i} \qquad 0 \leq i \leq s$$

We will sketch the poor in a moment. But fint let us see how it implies the claim

<u>Proof of Servels Theorem</u> As we have discussed, it is enough to prove $S \leq gl \cdot dim(A)$. But recall $gl \cdot dim(A) \leq n \iff Tor_{i+1}(k, k) = 0$, hence $Tor_i(k, k) \neq 0 \implies gl \cdot dim(A) \geq i$. But

$$\dim_k \operatorname{Tor}_s(k,k) \ge {\binom{s}{s}} = 1$$

so gl.dim(A) ≥ S.

3)

<u>Remark</u> One of the first applications of Serre's homological characterisation of regularity was to prove that if A is regular then so is Ap for any prime p. This is not obvious from the definition, but is easy one you think in terms of global dimensions (as Ap is flat over A).

<u>Proof of Theorem A</u> (sketch) The proof plays off two complexes. The first is a <u>minimal free resolution</u>, which is a projective resolution

$$\cdots \longrightarrow L_1 \longrightarrow L_0 \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow O$$

in which all Li are f.g. free and the map $\varepsilon : L_{o} \rightarrow k$ and $L_{i} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} \partial_{i}$ are <u>minimal</u> for $i \ge 1$. A morphism $\alpha : M \longrightarrow N$ of f.g. A-modules is <u>minimal</u> if it induces an isomorphism $M \otimes_{A} k \xrightarrow{\simeq} N \otimes_{A} k$. Since

$$L_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} L_i \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im} \partial_i$$

is zero, we declude that the matrices $\exists i \text{ for } i \ge 1$ all have entries in πz , i.e. $\exists i \otimes A = O$, and consequently

$$Tor_{i}^{A}(k,k) = H_{i}(\dots \longrightarrow L_{i} \otimes A k \longrightarrow L_{0} \otimes A k)$$
$$\cong L_{i} \otimes A k$$

which implies $\dim_k \operatorname{Tor}_i^A(k, k) = \operatorname{free-vank}_A(L_i)$. Minimal free resolutions exist: if M/mM has basis $\overline{u_1, ..., u_n}$ for $u_i \in M$ then $A^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow M$ with components u_i is minimal, so we can build up minimal resolutions in the usual way.

4

The second complex is the Koszul complex of a set of generators of rn. Since
dimk ^{Im}/m² = s we may generate rn by elements ay..., As (not necessarily
a vegular sequence !) and we define a complex K by

$$K_{i} = \bigwedge^{i} (AO_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus AO_{s})$$

$$\partial_{i} = (\sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{i}O_{i}^{*}) \downarrow (-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

$$(-)$$

so
$$H_0K \cong A/(a_1,...,a_s) = A/m = k$$
. By one of our early results, there is a chain
map $f: K \longrightarrow L$ lifting the identity on $H_0K \cong k \longrightarrow k \cong H_0L$. Some technical
work (using the fact that we understand the Koszul complex very well) leads us to
conclude each $f_i : K_i \longrightarrow L_i$ is a split mono. Hence