

Lecture 28

Our aim in today's lecture is to prove one half of the theorem of Serre quoted at the end of last lecture. Specifically, we aim to prove

Theorem Let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring. Then $\text{gl.dim}(A) = \text{proj.dim}_A(k)$.

Some reminders: in this lecture all rings are commutative, a local ring is a ring with a unique maximal ideal, a ring R is Noetherian if every chain of ideals $\dots \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_{n+1} \subseteq \dots$ satisfies $\mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{a}_{n+1}$ for $n \gg 0$. When we say "let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring" we mean $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq A$ is the unique maximal ideal and $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$. The dimension $\dim(A)$ is the length d of the longest chain $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{p}_d$ of prime ideals in A . If $A = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is the ring of germs of regular functions at x on an algebraic variety X then $\dim(A)$ matches the geometric notion of dimension of X at x .

Remark Most of what follows is from Matsumura's book on Commutative Algebra, and my notes on his book from thevisingseq.org.

From now on let (A, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring. The strategy to prove Theorem I is express $\text{gl.dim} A$ in terms of Tor against k , then compute invariants of k .

Theorem Let M be a finitely generated A -module. Then M is flat. iff. it is projective iff. it is free.

Proof Let $m = \dim_k(M/\mathfrak{m}M)$. By Nakayama's lemma we can find generators u_1, \dots, u_m for M as an A -module (\therefore map to a k -basis of $M/\mathfrak{m}M$). Let $\varepsilon: A^{\oplus m} \rightarrow M$ have i th component $A \rightarrow M, 1 \mapsto u_i$ so that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow A^{\oplus m} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} M \rightarrow 0 \quad (1.1)$$

Now suppose M is flat (in fact $\text{Tor}_1(M, k) = 0$ is enough). Then we have exactness of the first row of

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & K \otimes_A k & \longrightarrow & A^{\oplus m} \otimes_A k & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_A k \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K/\mathfrak{m}K & \longrightarrow & k^{\oplus m} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & M/\mathfrak{m}M \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

By construction μ in this diagram is an isomorphism, so $K/\mathfrak{m}K = 0$ and hence by Nakayama $K = 0$, so $M \cong A^{\oplus m}$ is free. \square

Proposition If M is a f.g. A -module then for $n \geq 0$

$$\text{proj. dim}_A M \leq n \iff \text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, -) = 0.$$

Proof By dimensionshifting if $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact with F flat then $\text{Tor}_{i+1}(K, N) \cong \text{Tor}_i(M, N)$ for $i \geq 1$, and since projectives are flat we can conclude (\Rightarrow) holds by arguments similar to those in Lecture 27.

For (\Leftarrow) suppose $\text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, -) = 0$ and that $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is exact with P_i projective and f.g. By the familiar arguments K is flat, hence projective, so $\text{proj. dim}_A M \leq n$. \square

Ex 1 $\text{gl. dim}(A) = \inf \{ n \geq -1 \mid \text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(-, -) = 0 \}.$

Corollary If M is a f.g. A -module then for $n \geq 0$ (see Lemma 123 of my Matsumura notes)

$$\text{proj. dim}_A M \leq n \iff \text{Tor}_{n+1}^A(M, k) = 0. \quad \textcircled{*}$$

Proof We prove by induction on n , the statement $P_n : \forall \text{ f.g. } M \textcircled{*}$ holds. The base case P_0 was our Theorem earlier, so it remains to prove the inductive step. Let M be a f.g. module with $\text{Tor}_{n+2}(M, k) = 0$ and suppose P_n holds. From the long exact Ext sequence of (1.1) we have $\text{Ext}^{i+1}(K, -) \cong \text{Ext}^i(M, -)$ for $i \geq 1$ and

$$\text{proj. dim } M \leq \text{proj. dim } K + 1. \quad \textcircled{**}$$

For the same dimension shift reasons,

$$\text{Tor}_{n+1}(M, k) \cong \text{Tor}_n(K, k).$$

Hence if P_n holds, since (\Rightarrow) in $\textcircled{*}$ is already proven, to prove P_{n+1} we need only show $\text{Tor}_{n+2}(M, k) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{proj. dim } M \leq n+2$. But if $\text{Tor}_{n+2}(M, k) = 0$ then $\text{Tor}_{n+1}(K, k) = 0$ and so by P_n for K , $\text{proj. dim } K \leq n+1$, whence by $\textcircled{**}$ $\text{proj. dim } M \leq n+2$ and we are done. \square

Theorem $\text{gl-dim } A = \text{proj-dim}_A(k).$

Proof We prove first that for $n \geq 0$

$$\text{gl-dim } A \leq n \iff \text{Tor}_{n+1}(k, k) = 0.$$

The implication \Rightarrow follows from our earlier observations. Suppose $\text{Tor}_{n+1}(k, k) = 0$. Then $\text{proj-dim}(k) \leq n$ by \circledast . Computing $\text{Tor}_{n+1}(M, k)$ using a projective resolution of k of length $\leq n$ we see that it vanishes for any module M , and thus $\text{proj-dim}(M) \leq n$ as well. Hence $\text{gl-dim } A \leq n$ as claimed.

Since $\text{proj-dim}(k) \leq n \iff \text{Tor}_{n+1}(k, k) = 0$ it follows that $\text{gl-dim } A = \text{proj-dim}(k)$. \square

So at least we have reduced the calculation of $\text{gl-dim } A$ to that of $\text{proj-dim}(k)$, for Noetherian local rings, and it remains to show that this number $\text{proj-dim}(k)$ has some geometric content. Recall that $x \in A$ is regular or a non-zero divisor if the multiplication map $x: A \rightarrow A$ is injective. There is a generalisation to sequences which we need.

Defⁿ Let M be an A -module and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$. We say this is an M -regular sequence if $(a_1, \dots, a_n)M \neq M$ and

- $a_1: M \rightarrow M$ is injective
- for all $1 \leq i < n$, the map

$$M/(a_1, \dots, a_i)M \xrightarrow{a_{i+1}} M/(a_1, \dots, a_i)M$$

is injective.

- Remark (1) If a sequence a_1, \dots, a_n is A -regular (i.e. $M = A$) we simply say it is regular.
 (2) If $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq M$ and M is f.g. then a_1, \dots, a_n is M -regular iff $a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}$ is M -regular for any permutation σ (this not obvious, see Matsumura for the proof).
 (3) If a_1, \dots, a_n is M -regular so is a_1, \dots, a_m for $m \leq n$.

Example The variables $x_1, \dots, x_n \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] = A$ form a regular sequence, as do any powers $x_1^{a_1}, \dots, x_n^{a_n}$ for $a_i \geq 1$.

Example Suppose A is regular, so that $\dim_k(m/m^2) = \dim A = d$. Then any set of generators x_1, \dots, x_d for m as an ideal gives a regular sequence (for context see the statement about $G_m(A)$ on p. ① of Lecture 28 and the material on quasi-regularity in Matsumura).

Understanding the relationship between regular sequences and projective dimension is the key to proving Serre's theorem. Note that projective dimension measures how far a module is from being projective. While $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is obviously a projective $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module, $k[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_n)$ is not, as it is torsion.

Proposition I Let M be a f.g. A -module. If $\text{proj. dim } M = r < \infty$ and $x \in m$ is M -regular, $\text{proj. dim } (M/xM) = r + 1$.

Proof By p. ④ of Lecture 28 it suffices to prove

$$\text{Tor}_{r+2}(M/xM, k) = 0, \text{ and } \text{Tor}_{r+1}(M/xM, k) \neq 0.$$

The long exact Tor sequence associated to $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$ part Tor_r looks as follows, using that $\text{Tor}_i(M, k) = 0$ for $i > r$

(6)

$$\begin{array}{c} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{r+1}^{\circ}(M, k) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Tor}_{r+1}^{\circ}(M, k) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{r+1}(M/xM, k) \\ \searrow \text{Tor}_r(M, k) \xrightarrow{x=0} \text{Tor}_r(M, k) \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

from which we deduce $\text{Tor}_i(M/xM, k) = 0$ for $i > r+1$, and $\text{Tor}_{r+1}(M/xM, k)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Tor}_r(M, k) \neq 0$. \square

Proposition II Let M be a f.g. A -module and a_1, \dots, a_s an M -regular sequence.
If $\text{proj. dim } M = r < \infty$ then $\text{proj. dim } (M/(a_1, \dots, a_s)M) = r + s$.

Proof By induction on s . \square

Proposition III Suppose m can be generated by a regular sequence a_1, \dots, a_d . Then $\text{gl. dim}(A) = d$.

Proof We have $k = A/m = A/(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ hence by Prop II and the Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \text{gl. dim}(A) &= \text{proj. dim}_A(k) \\ &= \text{proj. dim}_A(A/(a_1, \dots, a_d)) \\ &= \text{proj. dim}_A(A) + d \\ &= d. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In this case $d = \dim(A)$ so we have moreover shown that $\text{gl. dim}(A)$ computes the geometric dimension, which is therefore an intrinsic homological invariant of the abelian category $A\text{-Mod}$. This is a motivating example of the paradigm

