We now know that A-Mod has enough injectives. Building on this one proves that any Guildendieck abelian category has enough injectives (e.g. quasi-cohevent sheaves on a scheme). This is of fundamental importance because while projectives may seem more "natural" to us, in practice (at least in algebraic geometry) it is the injectives that one ends up using to cleftine derived functors like Ext, for the very simple reason that while every Guothendleck abelian category A has enough injectives, A may fuil to have enough projectives:

Ex1 (Hard) Rove that a quasi-coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}'(\mathbb{C})$ is projective in \mathbb{Q} where (\mathbb{P}') if and only if it is zero.

This is typical of non-affine schemes. With this in mind, the aim of today's lecture is to better understand injective modules over commutative Noetherian rings. We begin however with some general theory of injective envelopes, following Mitchell "Theory of categories" II. 2.

Ex 2 Prove that $Ext(Q, Z) \cong \mathbb{R}$ as abelian groups.

Let Λ be aving, $C = \Lambda$ -Mod.

Def An essential extension of a Λ -module A' is a monomorphism $u: A' \longrightarrow A$ such that for any nonzew submodule B of A we have $B \cap A' \neq O$. Equivalently, for every $O \neq a \in A$ there is $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda a \in A'$; $\lambda a \neq O$.

A pupper extension is $a: A' \rightarrow A$ which is mono but not an isomorphism.

 \bigcirc

<u>Lemma 1</u> A mono $u: A' \rightarrow A$, s an essential extension of and only if every morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that fu is MDNO, fitself is mono.

<u>Proof</u> f not mono \Rightarrow Ker(f) $\neq 0$, so if u is essential, Ker(f) $\land A' \neq 0$, hence fu is not mono.

If us not essential, let $C \subseteq A$ be a submodule with $C \cap A' = 0$, $C \neq 0$, and let f be $A \xrightarrow{f} A/C$. Then $A' \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow A/C$ is mono but f is not Ω .

Lemma 2 Q is injective if and only if it admits no proper essential extension.

<u>Roof</u> If Q is injective and $u: Q \longrightarrow A$ essential then u is split mono, say $A \cong Q \oplus Q'$. But then $Q' \cap Q = O$ implies Q' = O so u is not proper.

Suppose every essential extension of Q is an iso. Since Λ -Mod has enough injectives, let $Q \xrightarrow{L} I$ be monowith I injective. We show L is split, from which we get Q injective.

Let \mathcal{C} be the set of submodules $M \subseteq I$ with $M \cap Q$ O. Then is a puset, and if $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a chain then $\bigcup_i M_i = \sum_i M_i$ sutisfies

$$\left(\bigcup_{i}M_{i}\right)\cap Q = \bigcup_{i}\left(M_{i}\cap Q\right) = O$$

so every chain in C has an upper bound. By Zorn's Lemma there is a maximal element \overline{M} . Now, since $\overline{M} \cap Q = O$ the map $Q \longrightarrow I^{\xrightarrow{\pi}} I/\overline{M}$ is mono, but not epi (if it is, $Q \longrightarrow I$ is split and we are done already) hence by hypothesis not an essential extension. But if $O \neq \overline{B} \in I/\overline{M}$ satisfies $\overline{B} \cap Q = O$ then $B := \pi^{-1}(B)$ a submodule of I with $B \supseteq \overline{M}$ and $B \cap Q = O$ in I, contradicting maximality of \overline{M} .

<u>Def</u> A <u>direct family of submodules</u> $\{Mi\}_{i \in I}$ of a Λ -module M is a family of submodules $M_i \subseteq M$ s.f. for every pair $c, j \in I$ there is $k \in I$ with both $M_i \subseteq M_k$ and $M_j \subseteq M_k$.

Lemma 3 If every element of a direct family of submodules $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ of Mis an essential extension of some fixed $A \subseteq M$ then $\bigcup_i M_i = \sum_i M_i$ is also an essential extension of A.

Lemma 4 If $u: A \rightarrow B$ and $v: B \rightarrow C$ are mono, then vu is an essential extension iff. both u and vareessential extensions.

Ex 3 Prove Lemma 3 and Lemma 4.

<u>Def</u> An injective envelope for a Λ -module M is an essential extension $M \longrightarrow I$ with I injective.

Example $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is an injective envelope in <u>Ab</u>.

Ex 4 For p a prime let $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ denote the subgroup of covets $\lfloor \frac{\alpha}{p^{3}} \rfloor$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \gg 1$. Prove that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$ is an injective envelope of $\mathbb{Z}_{p} = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ in <u>Ab</u>.

Lemma 5 If $u_1: M \longrightarrow I_1$ and $u_2: M \longrightarrow I_2$ are injective envelopes then there is an isomorphism $O: I_1 \longrightarrow I_2$ with $O \circ u_1 = u_2$ (O is not unique!).

<u>Proof</u> Since I₂ is injective, there is O with $O \circ u_1 = u_2$. Now, O is monoby Lemma 1, and an essential extension by Lemma 4, hence an iso by Lemma 2.

Even though injective envelopes are only unique up to <u>non-unique</u> iso, we sometimes abuse notation and say "the" injective envelope.



<u>Theorem</u> Every Λ -module M has an injective envelope, denoted E(M).

<u>Proof</u> Let $M \longrightarrow I$ be mono with I injective, and \mathcal{E} the poret of all submodules $A \subseteq I$ which are essential extensions of M. Any chain in \mathcal{E} is a direct family, so the union is by Lemma 3 again an essential extension, so by Zorn \mathcal{E} has a maximal element \overline{A} . We daim \overline{A} is injective. It suffices by Lemma 2 to show \overline{A} admits no proper essential extension. Suppose for a contradiction $u:\overline{A} \longrightarrow T$ were such an extension. By injectivity there is f making

is an essential extension bigger than A, a contradiction - [] Theorem (Matlis) Suppose R is a commutative Noetherian ring. Then every injective

commute. By Lemma 1, f is mono. But then by Lemma 4, $M \rightarrow \overline{A} \rightarrow T$

u _____f

R-module is a coproduct of injective R-modules of the form E(R/p), as pravies over all prime ideals $p \subseteq R$.

Ex 5 Rove directly (i.e. without the Theorem) that $Q/Z \cong \bigoplus_{p \text{ prime}} \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}} = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}} \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{Z}_{p})$. As we already know $Q = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{Z})$, we have essentially accounted for all the injective $(= \operatorname{divisible})$ abelian groups. Observe that $0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to Q \longrightarrow Q/\mathbb{Z} \to 0$ can be written now as

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{P} \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{Z}_{P}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{O}_{\underline{P}}$$

This is actually typical: the injective verselution of a (nive) ring R put the E(R|p)'s in order according to the dimension of R|p.

(4)

Note this also shows that

 $M \leq E(M) \leq I$,

properly understood

if MEI with I injective, then

Example Since $R = \mathbb{C}[x]$ is a PID, injective = divisible. Clearly the field of fractions $\mathbb{C}(x)$ is divisible, as is $\mathbb{C}^{(x)}/\mathbb{C}[x]$, whence both are injective. The prime ideals are (0) and $(x-\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and with $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda} = \mathbb{C}^{[x]}/(x-\lambda)$

$$\mathsf{E}\big(\mathbb{C}[\mathsf{x}]\big) = \mathbb{C}(\mathsf{x})$$

$$\mathsf{E}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}) = \left\{ \frac{\alpha(\mathbf{x})}{(\mathbf{x}-\lambda)^{s}} \mid \alpha(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}], s \ge 1 \right\} \subseteq \frac{\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{x})}{\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]}.$$

By Matlis, any injective is a coproduct of copies of these. In particular,

$$\mathbb{C}^{(\mathbf{x})}/\mathbb{C}^{[\mathbf{x}]} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda}).$$

Observe that in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[x] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(x) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} \mathbb{C}(x) / \mathbb{C}[x] \longrightarrow 0,$$

a vational function $F = \frac{P(x)}{q(x)}$ is sent to components $\pi(F)_{\lambda} \in E(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda})$ with $\pi(F)_{\lambda} = 0 \iff F$ is defined at λ , and otherwise if $\pi(F)_{\lambda} = \frac{a(x)}{(x-\lambda)^{s}}$ in lowest common denominator, s is the order of the pole of F at λ .