Tutorial 5 : Spaces of paths

10/9/19 10/9/19 10/9/20

In the lectures we have started to develop the theory of function spaces and in this tutorial we aim to add to our intuition about these spaces with an extended study of the example of the <u>space of paths</u> in a topological space Y.

A path in Y is a continuous function $f: [0, 1] \longrightarrow Y$. Such paths form a set C + s([0, 1], Y). For the purposes of this tutorial we take as given that there is a topology on this space (the <u>compact-open</u> topology) such that for all $x \in [0, 1]$ the evaluation function

 $e_{V_x} : C_{f_x}([o,i],Y) \longrightarrow Y$ $e_{V_x}(f) = f(x)$

is continuous. Thus paths in Y are the points of the space Ctr([0, 1], Y). What are the open set? Well, in particular for $V \subseteq Y$ open the subject

 $e_{v_{o}}^{-1}(v) = \left\{ f : [o, i] \longrightarrow Y \text{ cts} \mid f(o) \in V \right\}$

is open in the space of paths. So any particular path starting in V has an open neighborhood consisting of <u>all</u> the paths starting in V.



We can form smaller open neighborhoods of f by imposing more constraints, e.g., if $W \leq Y$ is open and $f(1) \in W$ then $ev_0^{-1}(V) \cap ev_1^{-1}(W)$ is a smaller neighborhood of f in Cts ([0,1], Y).



2

Now observe that if a path f starts in an open set $U \subseteq Y$ and Y is a metric space, there is some closed interval K = [0, r] such that $f(K) \subseteq U$ (in words: if f starts in U there is some initial segment of f inside U. The point of this exercise is to develop intuition, 'so do not get hung upon the assumption Y is a metric space)



This seems like a reasonable way of prescribing paths "similar to f" which generalises the above. Rather than just impose $g(0) \in U$ (i.e. $g(K) \subseteq U$ for Kapoint) we can impose $g(K) \subseteq U$ Let us write (with K = [0, r] as above)

$S(K,U) = \{g: [0,1] \rightarrow Y cts \mid g(K) \subseteq U\}$



This subset is in fact open in the topology on Cti([0,1],Y) as we will "discover" from first principles in lectures. More generally, if we extend the earlier example by replacing the points $\{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ by intervals $K_1, ..., K_n \in [0, T]$ we can consider the open set

 $S(K_1, U_1) \cap \cdots \cap S(K_n, U_n) \subseteq C \ddagger ([o, i], Y)$ (*)

3





If we allow the Ki to be arbitrary compact (1-e. closed) subsets of [0,1] then the finite intersections of the form (*) are a basis for the topology on path space, so the above gives you a pretty good understanding of the opensets. To make the adjunction property work, you need <u>more</u> than just the sets S(K,U) for K finite, as the following shows:

- $\begin{array}{c} \hline \ensuremath{\mathbb{QI}} \end{array} & \mbox{The collection} \left\{ ev_{x} : Ct_{s}([o, i], \gamma) \longrightarrow \gamma \right\}_{x \in [o, i]} \mbox{ induces an injective continuous function} \end{array}$
 - $\overline{\Phi}: \operatorname{Ct}_{3}([\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{i}],\gamma) \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in [\mathfrak{d},\mathfrak{i}]} \gamma$

$$\overline{\Phi}(f) = (f(x))_{x \in [0,1]}.$$

Is this a homeomorphism onto its image? i.e. is $Ct_{I}([o_{1}, Y])$ "the same as" a subspace of $TT_{x \in [o_{1}, T]} Y$? 4

Recall that a basis for the pwduct topology on $\prod_{x \in [0,1]} Y$ is given by open sets of the form $U = \prod_x U_{x}$ where $U_x \subseteq Y$ is open for all $x \in [0,1]$ and $\{x \in X \mid U_x \neq Y\}$ is finite. Set

$$\left\{x_{i_1,\ldots,i_n}x_n\right\} = \left\{x \in X \mid \bigcup_{x} \neq Y\right\}$$

Let us identify $\Pi_{x \in \{0, 1\}} Y$ with $Y^{[0, 1]}$, the set of functions $f: [0, 1] \longrightarrow Y$ (not necessarily continuous). Then $f \in U$ iff. $f(x_i) \in U_{x_i}$ for $1 \le \hat{z} \le n$. That is, viewed as a subset $U \le Y^{[0, 1]}$

$U = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ f \mid f(x_i) \in U_{x_i} \right\}$

It follows that the subspace topology on $Cts([0,1], Y) \subseteq Y^{[0,1]}$ inherited from the product topology has a sub-basis consisting of sets S(K,U) where K is a point. Since having the adjunction property forces the S(K,U)'s to be open for all compact K, QI above combined with the above remarks shows that the topology on Cts([0,1], Y) coming from viewing this as a subspace of $Y^{(0,1)} \cong \prod_{x \in [0,1]} Y$ with the product topology dres not satisfy the adjunction property.

$$\begin{split} \hline Sol^{e} 1 & \text{Let } Q := \operatorname{Jm}(\Phi), \text{ so that the question is, does } \overline{\Phi} \text{ vertict} \\ \hline to a homeomorphism Cts([0,1],Y) & Q? We show that \\ for any interval $K \in [0,1]$ and open $U \subseteq Y$ which is not a
connected component of Y (that is, for which there exists
point $g \in Y \setminus U$ connected by a path to an element of U)
that $\overline{\Phi}(S(K,U)) \subseteq Q$ is not open, so the answer is NO.
Note $S(K,U)$ is nonempty : the constant function f which there
 $f : [0,1] \rightarrow Y$ sending everything to any chosen fixed $u \in U$ and a path from
lies in $S(K,U)$, Supposing $\overline{\Phi}(S(K,U))$ were open we could
find an open neighborhood T in $Tl_{X \in \{0,1\}} Y$ such that$$

$$\overline{\Phi}(f) \in T \cap Q \subseteq \overline{\Phi}(S(K, \nu))$$

$$\overline{f} \in \overline{\Phi}^{-1}(T) \subseteq S(K, \nu)$$



We may assume T is taken from the standard basis, i.e. there is $J \subseteq [0, 1]$ finile and open sets $U_x \subseteq Y$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ such that $U_x = Y$ unless $x \in J$, and $T = \prod_{x \in \{0, 1\}} U_x$. Write $J = \{a_1, \dots, q_n\}$ so that we have

$$\overline{\Phi}^{-1}(T) = \{ g: [0,1] \rightarrow \forall \text{ wontinuous } | g(a_i) \in Ua_i \text{ for } | \leq i \leq n \}$$
Note that $f \in \overline{\Phi}^{-1}(T)$ so $u \in U \cap Ua_1 \cap \dots \cap Ua_n$.





Now $\overline{\Phi}^{-1}(T) \subseteq S(K, U)$ means that whenever $g: [0, 1] \longrightarrow Y$ is continuous and satisfies $g(a_i) \in U_{a_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (as shown) it must follow that $g(K) \subseteq U$.

Let $y \in Y \setminus U$ be write where U = U by a path h, and let h be the inverse path (i.e. $h'(\lambda) = h(1-\lambda)$). Let $g : [0,1] \longrightarrow Y$ be the path described informally as follows: pick a subinterval $Z \subseteq K \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ not write informally as follows: pick a subinterval $Z \subseteq K \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ not containing any a_i , and let g be constant at u for $x \notin Z$, and on Z if traverses h and then h^{-1} (so it makes an "excursion" to y in between the a_{1,\dots,a_n} . Then $g(a_i) = u \in Ua_i$ so $g \in \Psi^{-1}(T)$ but clearly $g(K) \notin U$ since g(z) = y for some $z \in Z$. This contradiction shows $\Psi(S(K, U))$ is not open in (Q - D).