We began these lectures with the question "What is space?" I summarised some colloquial answers under three headings :

(1) Space as a stage for things

(2) Space as a stage for motion

(3) Space as a channel for communication

I then sketched some of the mathematical abstractions invented to formalise various aspects of our understanding of space (and time, which from Lecture 5 we know cannot be deeply separated from space). Subsequently we have understood several of these : <u>metric spaces</u>, <u>quadratic spaces</u> (Tutorial 2) and <u>topological spaces</u>. We also saw hints of a more "combinatorial" point of view on spaces in the guire of finite <u>CW-complexes</u>. This has taken us roughly half of the semester, and in all that time our examples have been things like subspaces of R[°] or finite CW-complexes, e.g.



These are clearly <u>things</u>, i.e. we have spent our time so far within paradigm (1) on the above list. We will spend the remainder of the semester developing an understanding of aspects of paradigm (2), which involves a shift to studying <u>spaces of functions</u>.

I. Motions are functions

Typically motions in a space X are represented mathematically by continuous functions $R \longrightarrow X$, where the domain is viewed as a time wordinate. The space X might be "physical space" e.g. IR^3 or "configuration space" as in e.g. the motion of a coupled pair of rods:



What about periodic motion? Suppose a motion $\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow X$ has period $T > \mathcal{O}$, which is to say that $\mathcal{T}(t + kT) = \mathcal{T}(t)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.



The subset $\mathbb{Z}T := \{k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{R} and by Tutovial 4 we have $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}T \cong S^1$ as topological groups, so there is a unique continuous map $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}: S^1 \longrightarrow X$ such that the diagram below commutes:



Two separate motions (say of particles) $\mathcal{T}_1 : \mathbb{R} \to X$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 : \mathbb{R} \to Y$, give rise to a single combined motion

 $\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow X^{\times} \mathcal{Y} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{T}(t) = (\mathcal{T}, (t), \mathcal{T}_{2}(t))$

(3)

what about a <u>continuous object</u> in motion? Say a piece of string, attached at two endpoints? If we imagine the string as made up of infinitely many particles, indexect say by $i \in [0, i]$, then each particle has its own motion $\mathcal{T}_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, let us say along a vertical axis:



Together the \mathcal{T}_{i} form a continuous function $\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in [0, i]} \mathbb{R}$, but $X = \prod_{i \in [0, i]} \mathbb{R}$ is not the correct configuration space of a shing, because the \mathcal{T}_{i} 's are not independent? Of subject if \mathcal{S} is small then

$$\mathcal{T}_{i}(t) \approx \mathcal{T}_{i+f}(t).$$

One reasonable way to say "the T: vary continuously in i" would be to ask that the function

$$[0, \mathbf{i}] \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (i, t) \longmapsto \mathcal{T}_i(t)$$

was continuous. We could <u>define</u> a motion of the string to be such a function.

But wait : a configuration of the string is <u>ibelf</u> a continuous function $h: [0, \Pi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, giving the height at any point. So we could reasonably describe a motion of the string as a continuous map into this "space" of configurations. Which raises the questions:

QI/ Is the set of continuous maps Cts ([0,1], R) a space?

Q2/ Supposing it is, is it then the case that

$$Ct_{s}([0,1]\times\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}) \cong Ct_{s}(\mathbb{R}, Ct_{s}([0,1],\mathbb{R}))$$

The answers are both Yes, as we will see.

Exercise L12-1 Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a function (not assumed continuous) between topological spaces X, Y. The graph of f is

$$T_{f} := \{ (x, y) \in X \times Y \mid y = f(x) \}$$

Pwve that

(i) If Y is Hausdorff and f is writinuous, Tf is closed in X×Y.

(ii) Give a counterexample to show that if Y is <u>not</u> Hausdorff, it is not necessarily the case that the graph of a continuous function f: X → Y is closed.

(iii) If Y is compact and Tf is closed, f is continuous.
 (First show X×Y → X sends closed subsets to closed subset, wing that Y is compact)

Œ

So if we wanted to put time on a more even footing with space, we might also want to view a motion of the string as a special kind of closed subject of $[0,1] \times IR \times IR$, consisting of the tuples $(i, t, T_i(t))$ for all $i \in [0,1], t \in IR$.

I. Topologies on sets of functions

We will make the set Cts(X, Y) of continuous maps between any two topological spaces into a topological space (the topology is called the <u>wmpact-open</u> topology) in such a way that the function

 $C+s(Z \times X, Y) \xrightarrow{\Psi_{z,X,Y}} C+s(Z, C+s(X,Y))$

$$\mathcal{Y}_{z,X,Y}(F)(z)(x) = F(z,x)$$

If you're familiar with λ -calculus, this is $\Psi(F) = \lambda z \cdot \lambda x \cdot F(z, x)$

see Lamber & Scott "Introduction to higher order categorical logic"

is well-clefined for any triple of spaces X, Y, Z, and is a bijection whenever X is locally compact Hausdorff (the wheept of local compactness is to be introduced in a moment). Any wapact space is locally compact.

We refer to this bijection as the <u>adjunction puperty</u> of the umpact-open topology. In particular we will have in the situation of the moving string above

$Ct_s(\mathbb{R}\times[0,1],\mathbb{R})\cong Ct_s(\mathbb{R},Ct_s([0,1],\mathbb{R}))$

so that both definitions of such motions agree.

<u>Remark</u> Given sets A, B write B^A for the set of all functions $A \longrightarrow B$. By definition a function $f: A \longrightarrow B$ is the same thing as an indexed family $\{f(a)\}_{a \in A}$ of elements of B, indexed by A.

> Given a function $F: A \times B \longrightarrow C$ we can consider for each $a \in A$ the partial function $F(q, -): B \longrightarrow C$ which sends $b \in B$ to F(q, b). The indexed family $\{F(q, -)\}_{a \in A}$ of these partial functions is, by the above logic, the same thing as the function

$$A \longrightarrow C^{\beta}$$
$$a \longmapsto F(q, -)$$

We denote this function by $\Lambda(F)$, i.e. $\Lambda(F) \in (C^{\mathcal{B}})^{\mathcal{A}}$. We have defined

$$\Lambda : C^{A \times B} \longrightarrow (C^{B})^{A}$$
$$\Lambda(F) = \{ F(a, -) \}_{a \in A}$$
$$or \quad \Lambda(F)(a) = F(a, -)$$
$$or \quad \Lambda(F)(a)(b) = F(a, b).$$

We claim Λ is a bijection. Since the values of F may be recovered from $\Lambda(F)$ it is clearly injective (if $\Lambda(F) = \Lambda(G)$ then for all $a \in A, b \in B$ $F(a,b) = \Lambda(F)(a)(b) = \Lambda(G)(a)(b) = G(a,b)$. If $H \in (C^B)^A$ is given define $F: A \times B \longrightarrow C$ by F(a,b) = H(a)(b) then clearly

$$\Lambda(F)(a)(b) = F(q,b) = H(a)(b)$$

Hence $\Lambda(F)(a) = H(a)$ on functions $B \rightarrow C$, so $\Lambda(F) = H$ on functions.

<u>Def</u> A topological space X is <u>locally compact</u> if for every $x \in X$ there exists an open set U and compact set K with $x \in U \subseteq K$.

Clearly any compact space is locally compact.

Example (i) Rⁿ is locally compact (but not compact) since any x ∈ Rⁿ lies in some (a, b,) ×···×(an, bn) which is contained in the compact set [a, b,] ×···×[an, bn].

(ii) Q is not locally compact (so subspaces of locally compact spaces need not be locally compact).

We know from Ex LII-9 that a compact Hausdorff space is normal, hence regular. A locally compact Hausdorff space need not be normal, but it is regular:

<u>Lemma L12-0</u> Suppose X is locally compact. Then (i) If $A \subseteq X$ is closed then A is locally compact. (ii) If X is also Hausdorff then it is regular.

Proof (i) Given $x \in A$ let $U \subseteq K$ be an open neighborhood of x in X contained in a compact set K. Then $x \in U \cap A \subseteq K \cap A$, and $U \cap A$ is open in Awhile $K \cap A \subseteq K$ is a closed subspace of a compact space, hence compact $\cdot \Box$ (ii) Let $x \in X$ and $B \subseteq X$ dosed with $x \notin B$ be given, and choose $x \in U \subseteq K$ with U open and K compact. Then K is compact Hausdorff, hence regular, so we may apply regularity to x, $B \cap K$ in K to find V, Wopen and disjoint in K with $x \in V$ and $B \cap K \subseteq W$. Suppose V', W'are open in X with $V' \cap K = V$, $W' \cap K = W$. Then $U \cap V'$ and $W' \cup K^{c}$ give the required disjoint open neighborhoods of x, B in X (recall in a Hausdorff space compact sets are closed). \Box Until further notice, we adopt the following hypothesis:

HYPOTHESIS: Suppose that we have assigned a topology Tx, y toCts(X,Y) for every pair X, Y such that for every $(continuous map F: Z \times X \longrightarrow Y$ the functionfacts conditional on $Z \longrightarrow Cts(X,Y)$ this hypothesis are $z \longmapsto F(z, -)$

is continuous, and the resulting function $\Upsilon_{2,X,Y}$ is a bijection whenever X is locally compact Hausclorff.

Eventually we will provide such topologies $T_{X,Y}$ (the compact-open topology) and prove that the hypothesis is true in this case, so that all we are about to say will become theorems about $Ct_S(X,Y)$ with the compact-open topology. The point of setting things up this way is that we will <u>derive</u> the compact-open topology as the weakest topology consistent with the hypothesis. This serves to both "explain" the topology, and at the same time the fundamental position of the adjunction property (also sometimes called the "exponential law" since $Ct_S(X,Y)$ behaves like Y^X).

Taking Z = Cts(X,Y) with X locally compact Hausdorff we have \underline{Y} Cts(Cts(X,Y)×X, Y) \cong Cts(Cts(X,Y), Cts(X,Y))

and $\Psi^{-1}(1_{ch}(x,y))$ is the evaluation map

Ð



Pwof (i) By the adjunction property it suffices to prove

 $Ct_{3}(Y,Z) \times Ct_{3}(X,Y) \times X \longrightarrow Z, \quad (g,f,x) \longmapsto g(f(x))$

is continuous, since $\Psi_{cts(y,z)\times cts(x,y)}$, x, z applied to this map is $C_{x,y,z}$. But this map is

 $\begin{array}{c} 1_{ct_{3}}(Y,Z) \times eV_{X,Y} & eV_{Y,Z} \\ Ct_{3}(Y,Z) \times Ct_{3}(X,Y) \times X \longrightarrow Ct_{3}(Y,Z) \times Y \longrightarrow Z \end{array}$

which as a composite of continuous maps, is continuous.

(ii) Fixing $f \in Ct_{S}(X,Y)$ in the above we have $1 \times f \qquad e^{V_{Y,Z}}$ $Ct_{S}(Y,Z) \times X \longrightarrow Ct_{S}(Y,Z) \times Y \longrightarrow Z$

which is writinuous provided Y is locally compact Hausdorff, and the induced map $Cts(Y,Z) \longrightarrow Cts(X,Z)$ is $g \longmapsto g \circ f$.

(iii) Fixing $g \in Ct_3(\gamma, 2)$ in the above we have

 $C+_{s}(X,Y)\times X \xrightarrow{e^{V_{X,Y}}} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$

continuous, provided X is locally compact Hausdorff, and the induced map $Cts(X,Y) \longrightarrow Cts(X,Z)$ is $f \longmapsto g \circ f$. (8.5

(н)

Lemma L12-2 For X compact Hausdorff, the set $\{X_X\}$ consisting of the characteristic function of X is open in the topology $T_{X,\Sigma}$ on Cts (X,Σ) (Σ denotes the Sierpiński space $\{0,1\}$.)

Proof Since X is compact the projection map
$$\pi_1 : Ct_s(X, \Sigma) \times X \longrightarrow Ct_s(X, \Sigma)$$

closed (see $E \times L(Z-1)$) and since $e \vee_{X,\Sigma} : Ct_s(X, \Sigma) \times X \longrightarrow \Sigma$ is
continuous the set $M := \pi_1(e \vee_{X,\Sigma}^{-1}(\{0\}))$ is closed in $Ct_s(X, \Sigma)$. But
this set consists of those characteristic functions X_V for $V \subseteq X$ open
for which $x \in X$ exists s.t. $e \vee_{X,\Sigma} (X_{V,2C}) = O$, i.e. $x \notin V$. That is,
 $M = \{\chi_V \mid V \text{ proper}\}$ and so $M^c = \{\chi_X\}$ is open. \Box

(H) Lemma L12-3 If X is locally compact Hausdorff and Y is arbitrary, then for any compact $K \subseteq X$ and $U \subseteq Y$ open, the set

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathsf{K},\mathsf{U}) = \{ f \in (f_{\mathsf{I}}(\mathsf{X},\mathsf{Y}) \mid f(\mathsf{K}) \subseteq \mathsf{U} \}$$

is open in the topology Jx, y on Cts (X, Y).

<u>Proof</u> The inclusion $f: K \rightarrow X$ is continuous and as a subspace of a Hausdorff space K is Hausdorff, and $g = X_U: Y \longrightarrow \Sigma$ is continuous, so the function

$$Ct_{s}(X,Y) \longrightarrow Ct_{s}(K,Y) \longrightarrow Ct_{s}(K,\Sigma) \quad (8.1)$$

$$h \longmapsto h \circ f \longmapsto \chi_{v} \circ h \circ f$$

is continuous, by Lemma L12-1 (ii), (iii).

By Lemma L12-2 the set $\{\chi_{\kappa}\} \subseteq Ct_{s}(\kappa,\Sigma)$ is open and hence the preimage under (8.1) is open, which is

(10)

Now we drop the	HYPOTHESIS and star	t again !
-----------------	---------------------	-----------

1].5

First we introduce the notion of a sub-basis:		
Defn The topology on a set X generated by a collection S of subjects of X		
(possibly empty) is the interaction		
$\langle S \rangle := \bigcap \{ T \mid T \text{ is a topology on } X \text{ and } T = S \}.$		
By definition if T is a topology and $T \ge S$ then $T \ge \langle S \rangle$.		
<u>Def</u> Let (X, T) be a topological space. A <u>sub-basis</u> for T is a subret $Q \in T$		
such that $\langle Q \rangle = T$.		
Exercise L12-3 (i) Prove that if { Ti}iEI is a collection of topologies on		
a single set X that $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{T}_i$ is a topology on X.		
(ii) with the above notation prove that UE <s> if and only</s>		
The convention is if U can be written as a union of sets, each of which		
that XESS can is a finite intersection of elements of S.		
interaction of ne (iii) If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a function and S is a sub-basis for the		

elements of S, i.e. the "empty interection" topology on Y, then f is continuous iff. $f^{-1}(U) \subseteq X$ is the whole space, is open for every $U \in S$. <u>Def</u> Let X, Y be topological spaces. The <u>compact-open topology</u> JX, Y on Cts(X,Y) is the topology generated by the set

$$\left\{ S(K, U) \right\}_{K \in X \text{ compact}, U \in Y \text{ open}, }$$

where $S(K,U) = \{f \mid f(K) \subseteq U\}$. More explicitly, a subset $W \subseteq Ct_s(X,Y)$ is open if and only if it is a union of sets, each of which is of the form

$$S(K_{1}, U_{1}) \cap \cdots \cap S(K_{n}, U_{n})$$
 (Ki wmpact, U2 open)

<u>Remark</u> A special case of interest is when X is compact Hausdorff, where by $E \times L9-5$ and Lemma LII-5 the compact subjects $K \subseteq X$ are precisely the closed subjects.

Lemmas L12-2, L12-3 show that the compact-open topology is the weakest topology (at least when X is locally compact Hausdorff) which is consistent with the adjunction property. It is an important theorem that in fact the adjunction property holds for this topology. Theorem L12-4 With $T_{X,Y}$ the compact-open topology, the earlier hypothesis is fulfilled, that is: for any continuous map $F: Z \times X \longrightarrow Y$ the map $Z \longmapsto F(Z, -)$ is a continuous map $Z \longrightarrow Ct_S(X, Y)$ and for X locally compact Hausdorff there is a bijection

$$C+s(Z \times X, Y) \xrightarrow{\Psi_{z,X,Y}} C+s(Z, C+s(X,Y))$$

 $\mathcal{Y}_{z,\chi,\gamma}(F)(z)(x) = F(z,x)$

We will <u>delay the poorf</u> for a minute, to examine some consequences. Some we have already elaborated : as a consequence of the Theorem, Lemma L12-1 and Lemma L12-2 now become absolute facts, conditional on nothing, and the evaluation map

$$e_{V_{x,y}}: Ct_x(x,y) \times X \longrightarrow Y$$
$$e_{V_{x,y}}(f,x) = f(x)$$

is continuous whenever X is locally compact Hausdorff.

Since $\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}$ is locally compact we deduce that there is no difference between a real-valued function of multiple variables and functions which return functions which return functions:

$$Ct_{s}(\mathbb{R}^{3},\mathbb{R}) \cong Ct_{s}(\mathbb{R}^{2}\times\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$$
$$\cong Ct_{s}(\mathbb{R}^{2},Ct_{s}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}))$$
$$\cong Ct_{s}(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R},Ct_{s}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}))$$
$$\cong Ct_{s}(\mathbb{R},Ct_{s}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}))$$

(12)

Example L12-1 Let Y be any space.

(i) [0,1] is compact Hausdorff and if y∈ Y is a fixed "basepoint"
 the subspace P,Y of Cts([0,1],Y) with the compact-open topology of functions f: [0,1] → Y with f(0)=Y is called the <u>path space</u> of Y with base point Y.

The evaluation map $eV_{[0,1],Y} : Ct_{\mathfrak{s}}([0,1],Y) \times [0,1] \longrightarrow Y$ is writinuous and hence the "evaluation at 1" map

У

 $\begin{array}{ccc} & ev(-,1) \\ \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{Y}} & \xrightarrow{inclusion} & C + s([0, 1], \mathcal{Y}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Y} \end{array}$

is also continuous. Its image is the set of points connected by a path to the basepoint $y \in Y$.

(ii) S^{\perp} is compact Hausdorff and $ZY := Ct_{s}(S^{\perp}, Y)$ with

the compact-open topology is called the free loop space of Y.



Amoning but probably meaningless: loops upon loops!

 $Ct_{s}(S^{1}, Ct_{s}(S^{1}, Y)) \cong Ct_{s}(T, Y).$

(13)

Exercise L12-4 Let ZY denote the five loop space of Y. Prove the function $\operatorname{const}: Y \longrightarrow ZY$ sending $y \in Y$ to the constant loop at y (i.e. $\mathcal{O} \in S' \longmapsto Y \in Y$) is continuous.

<u>Exercise L12-5</u> Rove that if X is finite and discrete (hene compact Hausdorff) that there is a homeomorphi'sm

 $Cts(X,Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} TT_{x \in X} Y$ $f \longmapsto (f(x))_{x \in X}$

which identifies S(x, U) with $\pi_x^{-1}(U)$ where $\pi_x : \pi_{x \in x} Y \longrightarrow Y$ is the projection.

Exercise L12-6 Let $(S^{1}, +, 0)$ be the circle on a topological group (ree Tutorial 4). Prove that the map $S^{1} \times \mathcal{L} Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} Y$ sending (\mathcal{O}, f) to the function $\mathcal{O}' \longmapsto f(\mathcal{O} + \mathcal{O}')$ is continuous. This map "notates the loops" in Y.

<u>Def</u> Given a topological space (X, T) we define Open(X) to be the topological space whose points are open subsets of X, with a basis for the topology given by sets TK where $K \subseteq X$ is compact and

 $\uparrow \mathsf{K} = \{ \mathsf{U} \in \mathcal{T} \mid \mathsf{U} \supseteq \mathsf{K} \}.$

Note that ϕ is compact and $\uparrow \phi = T$.

Exercise L12-7 Prove the set {TK | K = X compact} is a basis for a topology on J.

(14)

Lemma L12-6 For any space X there is a homeomorphism

$$Ct_{5}(X, \Sigma) \longrightarrow Open(X)$$
$$f \longmapsto f^{-1}(\{1\})$$

<u>Proof</u> By Lemma L6-2 we already know this map is a bijection. The topology on Cts (X, Σ) is generated by the sets S(K, U) with $K \subseteq X$ compact and $U \subseteq \Sigma$ open, so $U \in \{\emptyset, \Sigma, \{i\}\}$. We have

$$S(K,\phi) = \phi$$
, $S(K,\Sigma) = Ct_{X}(X,\Sigma)$

so in fact the topology is generated by the sets (wing that every continuous map $X \rightarrow \Sigma$ is the characteristic function X_V of a unique open set $V \subseteq X$)

$$S(K, \{l\}) = \{ \mathcal{X}_{V} \mid \mathcal{X}_{V}(K) \subseteq \{l\} \}$$
$$= \{ \mathcal{X}_{V} \mid V \supseteq K \}.$$
$$= \{ \mathcal{X}_{V} \mid V \in \uparrow K \}.$$

This corresponds to a basis for the topology on Open(X), completing the proof

<u>Def</u>ⁿ Let X be a Hausdorff topological space, and let Closed(X) be the set of closed subsets of X with a basis for the topology given by the sets JU for U≤X open with U^c compact, where

 $\bigcup = \{ Z \text{ closed in } X \mid Z \subseteq U \}.$

(15)

Example L12-2 Let $X = [o, i] \times [o, i]$ and $T_f \in X$ the graph of a continuous function $f: [o, i] \rightarrow [o, i]$ so that T_f is closed, and so determines a point of Closed(X). Let us denote this point by $[T_f]$. For every open set $U \in X$ containing T_f we get a basic open neighborhood U of $[T_f]$ in Closed(X):



In particular

$$\bigcup_{\xi} = \left\{ \left(x, y \right) \in \left[0, 1 \right]^{2} \mid d_{2} \left(f(x), y \right) < \xi \right\}$$

gives an open neighborhood $\int U_{\varepsilon}$ of T_{f} , which contains T_{g} for $g: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ another function if f. for all $x \in [0,1]$ we have $d_{\varepsilon}(f(x), g(x)) < \varepsilon$.

Exercise L12-8 If X is Hausdorff the bijection $Open(X) \rightarrow Clored(X)$ sending U to U^c is a homeomorphism.

Let γ be Hausclorff. Then the diagonal $\Delta \in \gamma \times \gamma$ is closed (Ex. LII-II) and hence Δ^c is open and we have the workingous map

 $\mathcal{X}_{\wedge^{e}} : \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{\Sigma}.$

 (\mathbf{b})

By the Theorem, the woresponding map

sing :
$$Y \longrightarrow Ctr(Y, \Sigma)$$

is continuous, where $sing(y) = \chi_{yyz}$. If we think of $Ctr(Y, \Sigma)$ as the set of <u>closed sets</u> of Y then this writinuous map sends a point to the closed singleton set containing it. That is, using Lemma L12-6 and Ex L12-8 there is a continuous function

$$\gamma \longrightarrow Ct_1(\gamma, \mathcal{S}) \cong O_{pen}(\gamma) \cong Clored(\gamma)$$

$$Y \longmapsto \{Y\} \in Cloved(Y)$$

Sending a continuous map to its graph gives an injective map from Cts (X,Y)(for Y Hausdorff) to Closed (X*Y). As Example L12-2 shows, the neighborhoods of a graph in the latter space have a clear intuitive content. The next theorem gives some conditions under which this is a homeomorphism.

Theorem L12-7 Let X be locally compact Hausdorff, Y compact Hausdorff. Then

$$\begin{array}{c} T_{c}, \\ C+_{3}(X,Y) & \longrightarrow Closed(X \times Y) \\ f & \longmapsto T_{f} \end{array}$$

sending a function to its graph, is writinuous and injective. Denote its image by $G_{X,Y} \subseteq Closed(X^*Y)$, the "space of graphs" with its subspace topology. The map T_{c-} , induces a homeomorphism

$$Ct_{x,Y}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} G_{x,y}$$

 $(\overline{1})$

<u>Proof</u> By Lemma L10-2 and L11-3 the product $X \times Y$ is locally compact Hausdorff (to see local compactness, given $x \in X, y \in Y$ choose $x \in U \subseteq K$, $y \in V \subseteq L$ with U, V open and K, L compact. Then $(x, y) \in U \times V \subseteq K \times L$ and $K \times L$ is compact).

By $\mathbb{E} \times L(2-1)$, $T_f \subseteq X \times Y$ is closed, so the map is well-defined. Consider the continuous map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e^{v_{x,y} \times 1_{y}} & \chi_{\Delta^{c}} \\ C+s(\chi,\gamma) \times \chi \times \gamma & \longrightarrow & \gamma \times \gamma & \longrightarrow & \Sigma \end{array}.$$

This sends (f, x, y) to (f(x), y) and then to $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ if f(x) = yand $I \in \mathbb{S}$ if $f(x) \neq y$. It corresponds under Y to a continuous map

$$\mathsf{Ct}_{\mathsf{S}}(\mathsf{X},\mathsf{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ct}_{\mathsf{S}}(\mathsf{X},\mathsf{Y},\mathsf{S})$$

sending $f: X \to Y$ to $\{(x,y) \mapsto S_{y \neq f(x)}\}$ which is precisely the characteristic function of the complement of T_f . Now composing with the homeornon phisms

$Ct_{S}(X \times Y, \Sigma) \cong Open(X \times Y) \cong Cloved(X \times Y)$

we find the graph map T(-) is continuous. It is clearly injective.

Let $G \in Closed(X \times Y)$ denote the subspace of graphs, i.e. the image of T(-). To prove that there is a homeomorphism $Cts(X,Y) \longrightarrow G$ it suffices to show that the image of S(K,U) is open in G for $K \subseteq X$ compact and $U \subseteq Y$ open. This is a little subtle. Note that the inclusion $K \times Y \xrightarrow{L} X \times Y$ is continuous and by Lemma L12-1 the composition map

$$C + (X \times Y, \Sigma) \longrightarrow C + (K \times Y, \Sigma)$$
$$f \longmapsto f \circ \iota$$

is continuous, since K×Y is locally compact Hausdorff. There is a commutative diagram of functions



where the vertical maps are the homeomorphisms obtained from Lemma L12-6 and $\mathbb{E} \times 212-8$. The bottom map sends a closed subset $Z \subseteq X \times Y$ to $L^{-1}(Z) = Z \cap (K \times Y)$. Commutativity of this diagram expresses $L^{-1}(-)$ as a composite of continuous maps, so it is continuous. Hence the open subset $K \times U \subseteq K \times Y$ determines an open set $L(K \times U)$ in the topology on $Closed(K \times Y)$ (here we use that Y is compact, so $K \times Y$ is compact and hence $(K \times U)^c$ is also compact) and so

 $\mathcal{L}^{-1}(\mathcal{J}(\mathsf{K}\times\mathsf{U})) = \{Z \in \mathsf{X}\times\mathsf{Y} \text{ closed } | Z \cap (\mathsf{K}\times\mathsf{Y}) \in \mathsf{K}\times\mathsf{U}\}.$

is open in Clored $(X \times Y)$. Finally, this proves that

$$\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{C}'(\downarrow(\mathsf{K} \times \upsilon)) = \{ \mathcal{T}_{f} \mid \mathcal{T}_{f} \cap (\mathsf{K} \times \Upsilon) \subseteq \mathsf{K} \times \upsilon \}$$
$$= \{ \mathcal{T}_{f} \mid f(\mathsf{K}) \subseteq \upsilon \}$$

is open in the subspace topology on \mathcal{G} , which is what we need to show. \Box

(19

<u>Proof of Theorem L12-4</u> Finiture prove that for arbitrary spaces X, Y, Zand any continuous function $F : Z \times X \longrightarrow Y$ the function

$$\Psi(\mathsf{F}): \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ctr}(\mathsf{X}, \mathsf{Y})$$

$\mathcal{Y}(F)(z)(z) = F(z, x)$

is well-defined and writinuous, when Cts(X,Y) is given the compact open topology. To check $\Psi(F)$ is well-defined means to check that $x \mapsto F(z,x)$ is actually continuous as a function $X \longrightarrow Y$. But F(z,-)is the composite of writinuous functions

$X \cong \{z\} \times X \xrightarrow{inclusion} Z \times X \xrightarrow{F} Y$

hence continuous, so $\Upsilon(F)(z) \in Ct_3(X,Y)$. To see the assignment $z \mapsto F(z,-)$ is continuous, it suffices by $E \times L(z-3)$ (iii) to show that for $K \in X$ compact and $U \in Y$ open that

$\{z \in Z \mid F(z, -) \in S(K, U)\}$

$$= \{ z \in Z \mid \forall k \in K \in F(z,k) \in U \}$$

$= \left\{ z \in Z \mid \{z\} \times K \subseteq F^{-\prime}(U) \right\}$

is an open subret of Z. Suppose $\{z_0\} \times K \subseteq F^{-1}(U)$, and choose for keK an open neighborhood $A_k \times B_k$ of (z_1k) in $F^{-1}(U)$. The $\{B_k\}_{k \in K}$ over K in X, and there is a finite subcover $\{B_{k_1,\ldots}, B_{k_r}\}$. Then for $w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} A_{k_i}$ we have $\{w\}_{\times} K \subseteq (\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} A_{k_i}) \times (\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} B_{k_i}) \subseteq F^{-1}(U)$.

Hence
$$z_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} A_{k_i} \subseteq \{ \overline{z} \in Z \mid \{ \overline{z} \} \times K \subseteq F^{-r}(U) \}$$
 so that
this set is open in Z. As avoidly, for X, Y, Z aubitrary we have a
well-defined function
 $U_{z,X,Y}(F)(z)(x) = F(z, x)$
This function is clearly injective, since F may be recovered from $\Psi(F)$.
Now, assuming X locally compact Hausdorff we prove $\Psi_{z,X,Y}$ is surjective.
Let $f: Z \longrightarrow Ct_2(X,Y)$ continuous be given and define
 $F: Z \times X \longrightarrow Y$, $F(z,x) := f(z)(x)$.
We need only show F is continuous : then clearly $\Psi_{z,X,Y}(F) = f$ and
we are done. Note that continuity of f tells us precisely that for $K \subseteq X$
compact and $U \subseteq Y$ open, the set
 $\{ \overline{z} \in Z \mid f(\overline{z}) \in S(K, U) \}$
 $= \{ \overline{z} \in Z \mid f(\overline{z})(k) \in U \text{ for all } k \in K \}$
 $= \{ \overline{z} \in Z \mid F(\overline{z}, k) \in U \text{ for all } k \in K \}$
 $= \{ \overline{z} \in Z \mid \{\overline{z}\} \times K \subseteq F^{-r}(U) \}$
is open in Z. We have to employ this somehow to prove F is continuous.

21)



So we take $U \subseteq Y$ open and $(z, x) \in F^{-1}(U)$. Then

$$C_{z} := \left\{ p \in X \mid (z, p) \in F^{-1}(U) \right\}$$

$= \{p \in X \mid F(z,p) \in U\}$

$= \{ p \in X \mid f(z)(p) \in U \}$

Is open in X since $f(z): X \rightarrow Y$ is a sumed continuous. Moreover $x \in C_z$ by hypothesis. Since X is locally compact Hausdorff it is regular (Lemma L12-0) and applying regularity to the pair x, C_z^2 we find there exists $W_1, W_2 \subseteq X$ open with $x \in W_1$, $C_z^2 \subseteq W_2$ and $W_1 \cap W_2 = \phi$.



This shows there is a closed set $K := W_2^C$ with the property that $x \in K \subseteq C_2$ and $x \in W_1 \subseteq K$. Since X is locally compact there is Topen and L compact with $x \in T \subseteq L$. Set $\widetilde{K} := L \cap K$ Then \widetilde{K} is closed in L hence compact, and

$(z,x) \in \{z\} \times \widetilde{K} \subseteq F^{-1}(U).$

We also shrink W_1 to the open neighborhood $\widetilde{W}_1 := W_1 \cap T$ of x in \widetilde{K} . Now, applying (*) overleaf to the compact set \widetilde{K} we find that $V := \{ w \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \{w\} \times \widetilde{K} \subseteq F^{-1}(u) \}$ is <u>open</u> in \mathbb{Z} . But then

$$(2, x) \in \bigvee \times \widetilde{W}_{i} \subseteq F^{-1}(U)$$

which shows $F^{-}(U)$ is open and completes the poor $f \cdot \square$



Exercise L12-9 In this exercise Y is compact Hausdorff.

С



Exercise L12-10	Two continuous maps $f, q: X \longrightarrow Y$ are <u>homotopic</u> if
	There exists $F: [0, 1] \times X \longrightarrow Y$ continuous with $F(0, -) = f$
	and $F(1, -) = 9$. Rove that if X is locally compact Hausdorff
	there is a bijection between such homotopies F and
	paths in $Ct_{J}(X,Y)$ from f to g .

The requirement in Theorem L12-7 that Y be compact (rather than just locally compact) is not such a big deal. There is a construction (the <u>one-point compactification</u>) which produces from a locally compact Hausdorff space Y a compact Hausdorff space \widetilde{Y} such that Y is homeomorphic to an open subset $U \in \widetilde{Y}$ and $\widetilde{Y} \setminus U$ is a single point ($Y = \mathbb{R}$ and $\widetilde{Y} = S^2$ is the canonical example).

Exercise L12-11 Suppose X is locally compact Hausdorff and that $Y_1 \subseteq Y_2$ is a subspace, with inclusion $C: Y_1 \longrightarrow Y_2$. Prove that the continuous map

$$C_{f_3}(X,Y_1) \longrightarrow C_{f_3}(X,Y_2)$$

$$f \longmapsto \iota \circ f$$

is a homeomorphism onto its image, so that we may identify Cts (X, Y_1) with the subspace of $f \in Cts(X, Y_2)$ with image wortained in Y_1 .

Hence if X, Y are locally compact Hausclorff, and \overline{Y} is the one-point compactification of Y, we have that Cts(X,Y) embeds as a subspace of $f_{X,\overline{Y}}$:

$$Ct_{S}(X,Y) \longrightarrow Ct_{S}(X,\widetilde{Y}) \xrightarrow{\text{Thm L12-7}} f_{X,\widetilde{Y}} \longrightarrow Cloved(X \times \widetilde{Y}). \quad (*).$$

where continuous $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is sent to the closed set $T_{iof} = \{(x, fx) | x \in X\}$.

Exercise L12-12 The map
$$\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow (0,1), x \longmapsto \operatorname{tanh}(x)$$
 is a homeomorphism,
and composing with $(0,1) \hookrightarrow [0,1] \longrightarrow [0,1]/n = S^1$ embeds
 $Y = \mathbb{R}$ as a subspace of S^1 with complement a point. With
 $X = (0,1)$ and $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ given by $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ sketch the
closed subset of $X \times \widetilde{Y} = (0,1) \times S^1$ associated to f by (\widetilde{Y}) .

Exercise L12-13* Prove that if X, Z are locally compact Hausdorff
and Y is arbitrary that the bijection of Theorem L12-4
$\mathcal{Y}_{z,x,Y}$
$Cts(Z \times X, Y) \longrightarrow Cts(Z, Cts(X, Y))$
is a homeomorphism where both sides are given the
compact-opentopology.
Exercise L12-14 Prove that if X is locally compact Hausdorff and Y, Z are arbitrary
then the canonical bijection
J.
$C_{t_{1}}(X,Y\times Z) \longrightarrow C_{t_{1}}(X,Y)\times C_{t_{2}}(X,Z)$
of Lemma L7-2 is a homeomorphism.

Ex L12-13 If X, Z are locally compact Hausdouff so is X × Z and hence

$$eV_{z \times x, y} : Z \times X \times C+_s(z \times X, Y) \longrightarrow Y$$

is continuous. It follows that

$$Z \times Ct_{3}(Z \times X, Y) \longrightarrow Ct_{3}(X, Y)$$
$$(z, F) \longmapsto eV_{2 \times X, Y}(z, -, F)$$

is continuous. But $eV_{z,x,y}(z, -, F) = F(z, -)$, and so associated to this map is precisely $Y_{z,x,y}$ which is therefore continuous. It remains to show that the invene $Y_{z,x,y}^{-1}$ is continuous. But (with $3_{z,x} : Z \times X \to X \times Z$ is the swap)

is continuous since both Z, X are locally compact Hausdoff. Associated to this is a continuous map

$$Ct_{z}(z, ct_{z}(X, Y)) \longrightarrow Ct_{z}(z \times X, Y)$$

$$\mathcal{T} \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} e_{X,Y} \circ (1_{X} \times e_{Y_{z,c}} c_{U(X,Y)}) \circ \delta_{z,X} \times 1 \end{bmatrix} (-, -, \mathcal{T})$$

$$\parallel$$

$$\{ (z,x) \longmapsto \mathcal{T}(z)(x) \}$$

But this is $\Upsilon_{z, x, \gamma}^{-1}$ so we are done. \Box