

# Notes on $A_\infty$ -algebras IV (checked)

①  
aInf4

These notes continue aInf1 - aInf3. Our focus here is on  $A_\infty$ -modules over Koszul complexes. So throughout  $k$  is a commutative ring, and  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  a sequence in  $k$ . Let  $A$  be the dg-algebra

$$A = \bigwedge_k (k\theta_1 \oplus \dots \oplus k\theta_m) \quad |\theta_i| = -1$$

$$b_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m t_i \theta_i^* \quad \text{write } F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m k\theta_i$$

viewed as an  $A_\infty$ -algebra, i.e.  $b_3 = b_4 = \dots = 0$  and

$$b_2 : A(1)^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow A(1)$$

$$b_2(a \otimes b) = (-1)^{|a|} a \wedge b$$

define an  $A_\infty$ -algebra structure.

††

An  $A_\infty$ -module over  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $k$ -module  $M$  and maps

$$b_n : M(1) \otimes A(1)^{\otimes n-1} \longrightarrow M(1) \quad \begin{array}{l} n \geq 1 \\ |b_n| = 1 \end{array}$$

Now if we use symbols  $\omega$  to stand for sequences  $\theta_{i_1} \dots \theta_{i_j}$  with  $i_1 < \dots < i_j$  then the data of the maps  $b_n$  is equivalent to specifying, for each sequence  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$  of length  $k \geq 0$ , a  $k$ -linear map

$$\begin{aligned} b(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k) &= b(- \otimes \omega_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_k) \\ &: M(1) \longrightarrow M(1) \end{aligned}$$

For  $k=0$  this is a degree 1 map

(2)  
airf4

$$b_1 : M(1) \longrightarrow M(1)$$

These are subject to the constraint (4.1) of (airf2), i.e. for  $n \geq 1$

$$0 = \sum_{s+t=n} b_u (b_s \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes t}) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum b_u (\mathbb{1}^{\otimes s} \otimes b_i \otimes \mathbb{1}^{\otimes t})$$

Evaluated on  $M(1) \otimes A(1)^{\otimes n-1}$  on say  $x \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_{n-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum b_u (b_s (x \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_{s-1}) \otimes w_s \otimes \dots \otimes w_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|x|+|s|} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{|w_1|+\dots+|w_{i-1}|+i-1} b_n (x \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_1(w_i) \otimes \dots \otimes w_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|x|+|s|} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (-1)^{|w_1|+\dots+|w_{i-1}|+i-1} b_{n-1} (x \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_2(w_i \otimes w_{i+1}) \otimes \dots) \\ &= \sum b_u (b_s (x \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_{s-1}) \otimes w_s \otimes \dots \otimes w_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|x|+|s|} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{|w_1|+\dots+|w_{i-1}|+i-1} b_n (x \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes b_1(w_i) \otimes \dots \otimes w_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|x|+|s|} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (-1)^{|w_1|+\dots+|w_{i-1}|+i-1+|w_i|} b_{n-1} (x \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_i w_{i+1} \otimes \dots) \end{aligned}$$

(2.2)

Example  $m=1$  so

③  
ainf4

$$A = k\langle t \rangle \longrightarrow k$$

and an  $A_\infty$ -module has maps

$$b_1: M(1) \longrightarrow M(1)$$

$$b_2(- \otimes 0), b_2(- \otimes 1): M(1) \longrightarrow M(1)$$

$$b_3(- \otimes 0 \otimes 0), b_3(- \otimes 0 \otimes 1), b_3(- \otimes 1 \otimes 0),$$

$$b_3(- \otimes 1 \otimes 1): M(1) \longrightarrow M(1)$$

-----

which satisfy relations

$$\boxed{n=1}$$

$$b_1^2 = 0$$

$$\boxed{n=2}$$

$$b_2(b_1 \otimes 1) + b_1 b_2 + b_2(1 \otimes b_1) = 0$$

i.e.  $M(1)$  is a dg-module  $M(1) \otimes A(1) \rightarrow M(1)$

$$\boxed{n=3}$$

$$b_3(b_1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) + b_2(b_2 \otimes 1) + b_1 b_3$$

$$+ b_3(1 \otimes b_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes b_1) + b_2(1 \otimes b_2) = 0$$

$$M(1) \otimes A(1)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow M(1)$$

The condition  $n=2$  evaluated on  $x \otimes 0$  says

$$b_2(b_1(x) \otimes 0) + b_1 b_2(x \otimes 0) + t^{(-1)^{|x|+1}} b_2(x \otimes 1) = 0$$

i.e. roughly that  $b_2(- \otimes 0)$  is a null-homotopy for the action of  $t$ .

Evaluated on  $x \otimes 1$  it says

$$b_2(b_1(x) \otimes 1) + b_1 b_2(x \otimes 1) = 0$$

3/12/12  
 (9)  
 ainf4

Basically that  $b_2(- \otimes 1)$  is a closed operation (most likely 1 for us!).

The condition  $n=3$  evaluated on  $x \otimes 0 \otimes 0$  says

$$b_3(b_1(x) \otimes 0 \otimes 0) + b_2(b_2(x \otimes 0) \otimes 0) + b_1 b_3(x \otimes 0 \otimes 0) \\ + b_3(x \otimes t \otimes 0 - x \otimes 0 \otimes t) + b_2(1 \otimes 0) = 0$$

$(-1)^{|x|}$

i.e. assuming we can arrange  $b_3(x \otimes 1 \otimes 0) = b_3(x \otimes 0 \otimes 1)$   
 this states that  $b_3(- \otimes 0 \otimes 0)$  gives a null-homotopy for  
 $b_2(b_2(- \otimes 0) \otimes 0)$  i.e.  $b_2(- \otimes 0)^2$ .

Example Say  $(X, D)$  is a MF of  $W$  over a  $k$ -algebra  $R$ , say  
 free of finite rank. Then say  $R = k[x]$

$$D^2 = W$$

$$\partial_x(D)D + D\partial_x(D) = \partial_x W = : t$$

But how do we argue that  $\partial_x(D)^2$  is null-homotopic?

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x^2 \\ x^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad W = x^4 \quad \partial_x(D) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2x \\ 2x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \partial_x(D)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 4x^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 4x^2 \end{pmatrix} = 4x^2$$

Now  $\partial_x(D)^2$  is a closed map  $X \rightarrow X$ , and

$$\text{End}(X) = X^V \otimes k[x]/x^2 = k[x]/x^2 \oplus k[x]/x^2[1]$$

So  $\partial_x(D)^2$  is in fact null-homotopic.