

# Matsumura: Commutative Algebra Part 2

Daniel Murfet

October 5, 2006

This note closely follows Matsumura's book [Mat80] on commutative algebra. Proofs are the ones given there, sometimes with slightly more detail. While Matsumura's treatment is very good, another useful reference for this material is EGA IV<sub>1</sub>, which treats some of the topics in greater generality.

## Contents

<a href="#">1 Extension of a Ring by a Module</a>	1
<a href="#">2 Derivations and Differentials</a>	2
<a href="#">3 Separability</a>	9

## 1 Extension of a Ring by a Module

Let  $C$  be a ring and  $N$  an ideal of  $C$  with  $N^2 = 0$ . If  $C' = C/N$  then the  $C$ -module  $N$  has a canonical  $C'$ -module structure. In a sense analogous with the notion of extension for modules, the data  $C, N$  is an "extension" of the ring  $C'$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $C'$  be a ring and  $N$  a  $C'$ -module. An *extension of  $C'$  by  $N$*  is a triple  $(C, \varepsilon, i)$  consisting of a ring  $C$ , a surjective ring morphism  $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow C'$  and a morphism of  $C$ -modules  $i : N \rightarrow C$  such that  $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon)$  is an ideal whose square is zero and the following sequence of  $C$ -modules is exact

$$0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{i} C \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C' \longrightarrow 0$$

Note that  $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon)$  has a canonical  $C'$ -module structure, and  $i$  gives an isomorphism of  $C'$ -modules  $N \cong \text{Ker}(\varepsilon)$ . Two extensions  $(C, \varepsilon, i), (C_1, \varepsilon_1, i_1)$  are said to be *isomorphic* if there exists a ring morphism  $f : C \rightarrow C_1$  such that  $\varepsilon_1 f = \varepsilon$  and  $f i = i_1$ . That is, the following diagram of abelian groups commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{i} & C & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & C' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \Downarrow & & \downarrow f & & \Downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{i_1} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} & C' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The morphism  $f$  is necessarily an isomorphism. The relation of being isomorphic is an equivalence relation on the class of extensions of  $C'$  by  $N$ .

Given  $C'$  and  $N$  we can always construct an extension as follows: take the abelian group  $C' \oplus N$  and define a multiplication by

$$(a, x)(b, y) = (ab, ay + bx)$$

This is a commutative ring with identity  $(1, 0)$ , which we denote by  $C' * N$ . The map  $\varepsilon : C' * N \rightarrow C'$  defined by  $(a, x) \mapsto a$  is a surjective morphism of rings. There is also a ring morphism

$\varphi : C' \longrightarrow C' * N$  defined by  $c \mapsto (c, 0)$ , and clearly  $\varphi\varepsilon = 1$ . The map  $i : N \longrightarrow C' * N$  defined by  $n \mapsto (0, n)$  is a morphism of  $C' * N$ -modules, whose image is the ideal  $0 \oplus N$  which clearly has square zero. So  $(C' * N, \varepsilon, i)$  is an extension of  $C'$  by  $N$ . We call this the *trivial extension*.

An extension  $(C, \varepsilon, i)$  of  $C'$  by  $N$  is said to be *split* if there is a ring morphism  $s : C' \longrightarrow C$  such that  $\varepsilon s = 1_C$ . Clearly any extension isomorphic to the trivial extension is split.

**Definition 2.** Let  $A$  be a ring, and  $(C, \varepsilon, i)$  be an extension of a ring  $C'$  by a  $C'$ -module  $N$  such that  $C, C'$  are  $A$ -algebras and  $\varepsilon$  is a morphism of  $A$ -algebras. Then  $(C, \varepsilon, i)$  is called an *extension of the  $A$ -algebra  $C'$  by  $N$* . The extension is said to be  *$A$ -trivial*, or to split over  $A$ , if there exists a morphism of  $A$ -algebras  $s : C' \longrightarrow C$  with  $\varepsilon s = 1_{C'}$ .

Suppose we are given an extension  $E = (C, \varepsilon, i)$  of  $C'$  by  $M$  and a morphism  $g : M \longrightarrow N$  of  $C'$ -modules. We claim there exists an extension  $g_*(E) = (D, \varepsilon', i')$  of  $C'$  by  $N$  and a ring morphism  $f : C \longrightarrow D$  making a commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{i} & C & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} & C' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow f & & \Downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \xrightarrow{i'} & D & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon'} & C' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The ring  $D$  is obtained as follows: we view the  $C'$ -module  $N$  as a  $C$ -module and form the trivial extension  $C * N$ . Then  $M' = \{(i(x), -g(x)) \mid x \in M\}$  is an ideal of  $C * N$  and we put  $D = (C * N)/M'$ . The map  $\varepsilon' : D \longrightarrow C'$  defined by  $(c, n) + M' \mapsto \varepsilon(c)$  is a well-defined surjective ring morphism, and  $i' : N \longrightarrow D$  defined by  $n \mapsto (0, n) + M'$  is a morphism of  $D$ -modules. With these definitions, it is clear that the bottom row of the above diagram is exact, and the diagram commutes with  $f : C \longrightarrow D$  defined by  $c \mapsto (c, 0) + M'$ .  $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon')$  is the ideal  $(\text{Ker}(\varepsilon) \oplus N)/M'$  of  $D$ , which clearly has square zero. Hence  $g_*(E) = (D, \varepsilon', i')$  is an extension of  $C'$  by  $N$  with the required property.

## 2 Derivations and Differentials

**Definition 3.** Let  $A$  be a ring and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. A *derivation* of  $A$  into  $M$  is a morphism of abelian groups  $D : A \longrightarrow M$  such that  $D(ab) = a \cdot D(b) + b \cdot D(a)$ . The set of all derivations of  $A$  into  $M$  is denoted by  $\text{Der}(A, M)$ . It is an  $A$ -module in the natural way.

For any derivation  $D$ ,  $\text{Ker}(d)$  is a subring of  $A$  (in particular  $D(1) = 0$ ). If  $A$  is a field, then  $\text{Ker}(d)$  is a subfield. Let  $k$  be a ring and  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra. Then derivations  $A \longrightarrow M$  which vanish on  $k$  are called *derivations over  $k$* . Every derivation is a derivation over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The set of such derivations form an  $A$ -submodule of  $\text{Der}(A, M)$  which we denote by  $\text{Der}_k(A, M)$ . We write  $\text{Der}_k(A)$  for  $\text{Der}_k(A, A)$ . Notice that any derivation  $A \longrightarrow M$  over  $k$  is  $k$ -linear.

If  $\phi : M \longrightarrow N$  is a morphism of  $A$ -modules then composing with  $\phi$  defines a morphism of  $A$ -modules  $\text{Der}_k(A, M) \longrightarrow \text{Der}_k(A, N)$ , so we have an additive covariant functor  $\text{Der}_k(A, -) : \mathbf{AMod} \longrightarrow \mathbf{AMod}$ . If  $\psi : A \longrightarrow A'$  is a morphism of  $k$ -algebras and  $M$  an  $A'$ -module then composing with  $\psi$  defines a morphism of  $A$ -modules  $\text{Der}_k(A', M) \longrightarrow \text{Der}_k(A, M)$ .

If  $\alpha : k \longrightarrow k'$  is a morphism of rings and  $A$  a  $k'$ -algebra then for any  $A$ -module  $M$  there is a trivial morphism of  $A$ -modules  $\text{Der}_{k'}(A, M) \longrightarrow \text{Der}_k(A, M)$ .

**Remark 1.** Let  $A$  be a ring,  $M$  an  $A$ -module and  $D : A \longrightarrow M$  a derivation. We make some simple observations

- For  $n > 1$  and  $a \in A$  we have  $D(a^n) = na^{n-1} \cdot D(a)$ .
- Suppose  $A$  has prime characteristic  $p$  and let  $A^p$  denote the subring  $\{a^p \mid a \in A\}$ . Then  $D$  vanishes on  $A^p$ , since  $D(a^p) = pa^{p-1}D(a) = 0$ .
- If  $u \in A$  is a unit then  $D(u^{-1}) = -u^{-2} \cdot D(u)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $A$  be a ring,  $M$  an  $A$ -module and  $D : A \rightarrow M$  a derivation. Then for  $n \geq 2$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$  we have

$$D(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \prod_{j \neq i} a_j \right) \cdot D(a_i)$$

*Proof.* The case  $n = 2$  is trivial and the other cases follow by induction.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** Let  $k$  be a ring,  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra and  $M$  an  $A$ -module. Then there is a bijection between  $\text{Der}_k(A, M)$  and morphisms of  $S$ -algebras  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A \oplus M$  with  $\varepsilon\varphi = 1$ .

*Proof.* We make  $A \oplus M$  into a ring as in Section 1, so  $(a, m)(b, n) = (ab, a \cdot n + b \cdot m)$ . There are canonical ring morphisms  $A \rightarrow A \oplus M, a \mapsto (a, 0)$  and  $\varepsilon : A \oplus M \rightarrow A, (a, m) \mapsto a$  and we make  $A \oplus M$  a  $k$ -algebra using the former. Given a derivation  $D : A \rightarrow M$  over  $k$  we define  $1 + D : A \rightarrow A \oplus M, a \mapsto (a, D(a))$ . It is easy to check this is a morphism of  $S$ -algebras with  $\varepsilon(1 + D) = 1$ . Conversely if  $\varphi$  is such a morphism of  $S$ -algebras, given  $a \in A$  let  $D(a) \in M$  be such that  $\varphi(a) = (a, D(a))$ . Then  $D : A \rightarrow M$  is a derivation over  $k$ . This defines the required bijection.  $\square$

**Definition 4.** Let  $k$  be a ring,  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra and set  $B = A \otimes_k A$ . Consider the morphisms of  $k$ -algebras  $\varepsilon : B \rightarrow A$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 : A \rightarrow B$  defined by  $\varepsilon(a \otimes a') = aa'$  and  $\lambda_1(a) = a \otimes 1, \lambda_2(a) = 1 \otimes a$ . Once and for all, we make  $B$  into an  $A$ -algebra via  $\lambda_1$ . We denote the kernel of  $\varepsilon$  by  $I_{A/k}$  or simply by  $I$ , and we put  $\Omega_{A/k} = I/I^2$ . The  $B$ -modules  $I, I^2$  and  $\Omega_{A/k}$  are also viewed as  $A$ -modules via  $\lambda_1 : A \rightarrow B$ . The  $A$ -module  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is called the *module of differentials* (or of *Kähler differentials*) of  $A$  over  $k$ .

We have  $\varepsilon\lambda_1 = \varepsilon\lambda_2 = 1$  so if we denote the natural morphism  $B \rightarrow B/I^2$  by  $\nu$  and if we put  $d^* = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$  then  $d = \nu d^*$  is a derivation over  $k$

$$\begin{aligned} d : A &\rightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \\ b &\mapsto 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1 + I^2 \end{aligned}$$

To see this is a derivation, note that since  $(1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1)(1 \otimes a - a \otimes 1) \in I^2$  we have  $1 \otimes ab + ab \otimes 1 = b \otimes a + a \otimes b \pmod{I^2}$  for any  $a, b \in A$ . This is called the *canonical derivation* and is denoted by  $d_{A/k}$  if necessary. Any ring is canonically a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and we denote the  $A$ -module  $\Omega_{A/\mathbb{Z}}$  by  $\Omega_A$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $k$  be a ring and  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra. Then there is a canonical isomorphism of  $A$ -modules  $(A \otimes_k A)/I^2 \cong A \oplus \Omega_{A/k}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be the  $A$ -algebra  $A \otimes_k A$ . Then  $B$  is the internal direct sum of  $\lambda_1(A)$  and  $I$  (it is clear that these  $A$ -submodules intersect trivially, and their sum is  $B$  since  $x \otimes y = xy \otimes 1 + x(1 \otimes y - y \otimes 1)$ ). It follows that  $B/I^2$  is the coproduct of the monomorphisms  $A \rightarrow B/I^2$  and  $\Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow B/I^2$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.** Let  $k$  be a ring and  $\phi : A \rightarrow A'$  a morphism of  $k$ -algebras. Then there is a canonical morphism of  $A$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\phi/k} : \Omega_{A/k} &\rightarrow \Omega_{A'/k} \\ a \otimes b + I^2 &\mapsto \phi(a) \otimes \phi(b) + I'^2 \\ da &\mapsto d\phi(a) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

If  $\psi : A' \rightarrow A''$  is another morphism of  $k$ -algebras then  $\Omega_{\psi/k} \Omega_{\phi/k} = \Omega_{\psi\phi/k}$  and  $\Omega_{1/k} = 1$ . In particular if  $A \cong A'$  as  $k$ -algebras then  $\Omega_{A/k} \cong \Omega_{A'/k}$ .

Now let  $\alpha : k \rightarrow k'$  be a morphism of rings and  $A$  a  $k'$ -algebra. Then there is a canonical morphism of  $A$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{A/\alpha} : \Omega_{A/k} &\rightarrow \Omega_{A/k'} \\ a \otimes b + I^2 &\mapsto a \otimes b + I'^2 \\ d_{A/k}(a) &\mapsto d_{A/k'}(a) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $k$  be a ring and  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra. Then  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is generated as an  $A$ -module by the set  $\{d(a) \mid a \in A\}$ . In particular  $\Omega_{k/k} = 0$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $I$  is generated as an  $A$ -module by the set  $\{d^*(a) \mid a \in A\}$ . For any  $x, y \in A$  we have  $x \otimes y = xy \otimes 1 + x \cdot d^*(y)$  in  $B$  and therefore

$$\sum_i x_i \otimes y_i = \left( \sum_i x_i y_i \right) \otimes 1 + \sum_i x_i \cdot d^*(y_i)$$

If  $\sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in I$  then  $\sum_i x_i y_i = 0$  and therefore any element of  $I$  can be written in the form  $\sum_i x_i \cdot d^*(y_i)$  for  $x_i, y_i \in A$ , which is what we wanted to show.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** Let  $k$  be a ring and  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra. Then the pair  $(\Omega_{A/k}, d)$  has the following universal property: if  $D$  is a derivation of  $A$  over  $k$  into an  $A$ -module  $M$ , then there is a unique morphism of  $A$ -modules  $f : \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow M$  making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{D} & M \\ d \downarrow & \nearrow f & \\ \Omega_{A/k} & & \end{array}$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 4 that if  $f$  exists it is unique. For the existence, set  $B = A \otimes_k A$ , take the trivial extension  $A * M$  and define a morphism of  $A$ -algebras  $\phi : B \rightarrow A * M$  by  $\phi(x \otimes y) = (xy, x \cdot D(y))$ . Since  $I^2 \subseteq \text{Ker} \phi$  there is an induced morphism of  $A$ -algebras  $\phi' : B/I^2 \rightarrow A * M$  which maps  $1 \otimes y - y \otimes 1 + I^2$  to  $(0, D(y))$ . Therefore the restriction to  $\Omega_{A/k}$  induces a morphism of  $A$ -modules with the required property.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** Let  $k$  be a ring and  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra. Then for an  $A$ -module  $M$  there is a canonical isomorphism of  $A$ -modules natural in  $M$ ,  $A$  and  $k$

$$\text{Der}_k(A, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, M)$$

*Proof.* The bijection given by Proposition 5 is clearly natural in  $M$ ,  $A$  and  $k$ .  $\square$

**Definition 6.** Let  $k$  be a ring and  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra. For  $r \geq 1$  we denote by  $\Omega_{A/k}^r$  the  $r$ -th exterior product  $\bigwedge^r \Omega_{A/k}$  (TES, Section 3). There is a canonical isomorphism of  $A$ -modules  $\Omega_{A/k} \cong \Omega_{A/k}^1$  and we freely identify these modules.

**Lemma 7.** Let  $k$  be a ring and  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra. If  $A$  is generated by a nonempty set  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  as a  $k$ -algebra then  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is generated by  $\{dx_i\}_{i \in I}$  as an  $A$ -module.

**Example 1.** Let  $k$  be a ring and set  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for  $n \geq 1$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we define a  $k$ -derivation  $\partial/\partial x_i : A \rightarrow A$  in the usual way

$$\begin{aligned} \partial/\partial x_i(f)(\alpha) &= (\alpha_i + 1)f(\alpha + e_i) \\ \partial/\partial x_i \left( \sum_{\alpha} f(\alpha) x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \right) &= \sum_{\alpha, \alpha_i \geq 1} \alpha_i f(\alpha) x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\partial/\partial x_i \in \text{Der}_k(A)$  there exists a morphism of  $A$ -modules  $f_i : \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow A$  with  $f_i(dx_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . If  $k$  is a ring and  $K$  a  $k$ -algebra then for  $a \in K$  we have  $d(f(a)) = f'(a) \cdot da$  in  $\Omega_{K/k}$  where  $d : K \rightarrow \Omega_{K/k}$  is canonical.

**Lemma 8.** Let  $k$  be a ring and  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for  $n \geq 1$ . The differential  $d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}$  is defined by  $df = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial f / \partial x_i \cdot dx_i$ .

*Proof.* One reduces easily to the case  $f = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$  for nonzero  $\alpha$ , which is Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** Let  $k$  be a ring and  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is a free  $A$ -module with basis  $dx_1, \dots, dx_n$ .

*Proof.* We already know that the  $dx_i$  generate  $\Omega_{A/k}$  as an  $A$ -module. Suppose that  $\sum_i a_i \cdot dx_i = 0$  with  $a_i \in A$ . Applying the morphism  $f_i$  of Example 1 we see that  $a_i = 0$  for each  $i$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $k$  be a field and  $K$  a separable algebraic extension field of  $k$ . Then  $\Omega_{K/k} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* In fact, for any  $\alpha \in K$  there is a polynomial  $f(x) \in k[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$  and  $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$ . Since  $d : K \rightarrow \Omega_{K/k}$  is a derivation we have  $0 = d(f(\alpha)) = f'(\alpha) \cdot d\alpha$ , whence  $d\alpha = 0$ . Since  $\Omega_{K/k}$  is generated by the  $d\alpha$ , this shows that  $\Omega_{K/k} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Definition 7.** Suppose we have a commutative diagram of rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & A' \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ k & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & k' \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Then there is a canonical morphism of  $A$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} u_{A/k, A'/k'} : \Omega_{A/k} &\longrightarrow \Omega_{A'/k'} \\ a \otimes b + I^2 &\mapsto \phi(a) \otimes \phi(b) + I'^2 \\ d_{A/k}(a) &\mapsto d_{A'/k'}(\phi(a)) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and a canonical morphism of  $A'$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} v_{A/k, A'/k'} : \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A A' &\longrightarrow \Omega_{A'/k'} \\ (a \otimes b + I^2) \otimes c &\mapsto c\phi(a) \otimes \phi(b) + I'^2 \\ d_{A/k}(a) \otimes c &\mapsto c \cdot d_{A'/k'}(\phi(a)) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $A, k'$  be  $k$ -algebras and let  $A'$  be the  $k$ -algebra  $A \otimes_k k'$ . Then the canonical morphism of  $A'$ -modules (5)  $\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A A' \rightarrow \Omega_{A'/k'}$  is an isomorphism. More generally this is true whenever the diagram of rings (3) is a pushout.*

*Proof.* Set  $B = A \otimes_k A, B' = A' \otimes_{k'} A'$ , let  $I$  be the kernel of the canonical morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $\varepsilon : A \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$  and let  $I'$  be the kernel of  $\varepsilon' : A' \otimes_{k'} A' \rightarrow A'$ . We have an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A A' = \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A (A \otimes_k k') \cong (\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A A) \otimes_k k' \cong \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_k k' \quad (6)$$

and an isomorphism of  $k$ -algebras

$$B' = (A \otimes_k k') \otimes_{k'} (A \otimes_k k') \cong A \otimes_k (k' \otimes_{k'} (A \otimes_k k')) \cong B \otimes_k k' \quad (7)$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is surjective, the kernel of the morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $\varepsilon \otimes 1 : B \otimes_k k' \rightarrow A \otimes_k k'$  is  $I \otimes_k k'$  (this denotes the image of  $I \otimes_k k' \rightarrow B \otimes_k k'$ ). We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes_k k' & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & B' \\ & \searrow \varepsilon \otimes 1 & \swarrow \varepsilon' \\ & A \otimes_k k' & \end{array}$$

Therefore (7) identifies the ideals  $I \otimes_k A$  and  $I'$  and therefore also the ideals  $I^2 \otimes_k A$  and  $I'^2$ . Taking quotients we have an isomorphism of  $k$ -algebras  $B/I^2 \otimes_k k' \cong B'/I'^2$ . But using Lemma 3 we have an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$B/I^2 \otimes_k k' \cong (A \oplus \Omega_{A/k}) \otimes_k k' \cong A' \oplus (\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_k k')$$

together with  $B'/I'^2 \cong A' \oplus \Omega_{A'/k'}$  this yields an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{A'/k'} &\longrightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_k k' \\ (a \otimes l) \otimes (b \otimes k) + I'^2 &\mapsto (a \otimes b - ab \otimes 1 + I^2) \otimes kl \end{aligned}$$

composed with (6) this shows that (5) is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $k$  be a ring,  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra and  $S$  a multiplicatively closed subset of  $A$ . Let  $T$  denote the multiplicatively closed subset  $\{s \otimes t \mid s, t \in S\}$  of  $A \otimes_k A$ . Then there is a canonical isomorphism of  $k$ -algebras*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau : S^{-1}A \otimes_k S^{-1}A &\longrightarrow T^{-1}(A \otimes_k A) \\ a/s \otimes b/t &\mapsto (a \otimes b)/(s \otimes t) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We begin by defining a  $k$ -bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} S^{-1}A \times S^{-1}A &\longrightarrow T^{-1}(A \otimes_k A) \\ (a/s, b/t) &\mapsto (a \otimes b)/(s \otimes t) \end{aligned}$$

This induces the morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $\tau$ . To define the inverse to  $\tau$ , consider the morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $A \otimes_k A \longrightarrow S^{-1}A \otimes_k S^{-1}A$  induced by the morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $A \longrightarrow S^{-1}A$ . This clearly maps  $T$  to units, and it is straightforward to check the induced morphism  $T^{-1}(A \otimes_k A) \longrightarrow S^{-1}A \otimes_k S^{-1}A$  is inverse to  $\tau$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 13.** *Suppose we have ring morphisms  $\phi : k \longrightarrow A, \psi : A \longrightarrow B$ . Then there is a canonical exact sequence of  $B$ -modules*

$$\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{v} \Omega_{B/k} \xrightarrow{u} \Omega_{B/A} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (8)$$

*The morphism  $v$  is a coretraction if and only if the canonical map  $Der_k(B, T) \longrightarrow Der_k(A, T)$  is surjective for every  $B$ -module  $T$ . In that case we have a split exact sequence of  $B$ -modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{v} \Omega_{B/k} \xrightarrow{u} \Omega_{B/A} \longrightarrow 0$$

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be the morphism of (5) and  $u$  the morphism of (2). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} v(d_{A/k}(a) \otimes b) &= b \cdot d_{B/k}(\psi(a)) \\ u(b \cdot d_{B/k}(b')) &= b \cdot d_{B/A}(b') \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $u$  is surjective. Since  $d_{B/A}(\psi(a)) = 0$  we have  $uv = 0$ . Now showing that  $u$  is the cokernel of  $v$  is equivalent to checking that the following sequence of abelian groups is exact for any  $B$ -module  $T$

$$Hom_B(\Omega_{B/A}, T) \longrightarrow Hom_B(\Omega_{B/k}, T) \longrightarrow Hom_B(\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B, T)$$

Using the canonical isomorphism  $Hom_B(\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B, T) \cong Hom_A(\Omega_{A/k}, T)$  and Corollary 6 we reduce to checking that the following sequence of abelian groups is exact

$$Der_A(B, T) \longrightarrow Der_k(B, T) \longrightarrow Der_k(A, T) \quad (9)$$

where the second map is  $D \mapsto D \circ \psi$  (observe that the first map is trivially injective). This is clearly exact, so we have proved that (8) is an exact sequence of  $B$ -modules. A morphism of  $B$ -modules  $M' \longrightarrow M$  has a left inverse if and only if the induced map  $Hom_B(M, T) \longrightarrow Hom_B(M', T)$  is surjective for any  $B$ -module  $T$ . Thus,  $v$  has a left inverse if and only if the natural map  $Der_k(B, T) \longrightarrow Der_k(A, T)$  is surjective for any  $B$ -module  $T$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 14.** *Suppose we have ring morphisms  $\phi : k \longrightarrow A, \psi : A \longrightarrow B$ . Then the canonical morphism of  $B$ -modules  $v : \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \longrightarrow \Omega_{B/k}$  is an isomorphism if and only if every derivation of  $A$  over  $k$  into any  $B$ -module  $T$  can be extended uniquely to a  $k$ -derivation  $B \longrightarrow T$ .*

*Proof.* The morphism  $v$  is an isomorphism if and only if it is a coretraction and an epimorphism, so if and only if  $\Omega_{B/A} = 0$  and  $Der_k(B, T) \longrightarrow Der_k(A, T)$  is surjective for every  $B$ -module  $T$ . Since  $Der_A(B, T)$  is isomorphic to the kernel of this morphism and  $\Omega_{B/A} = 0$  if and only if  $Der_A(B, T) \cong Hom_B(\Omega_{B/A}, T) = 0$  for every  $B$ -module  $T$ , we see that  $v$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $Der_k(B, T) \longrightarrow Der_k(A, T)$  is an isomorphism for every  $B$ -module  $T$ , which is what we wanted to show.  $\square$

**Corollary 15.** *Let  $k$  be a ring and  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra. If  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $A$ , then there is a canonical isomorphism of  $S^{-1}A$ -modules*

$$\begin{aligned}\xi : S^{-1}\Omega_{A/k} &\longrightarrow \Omega_{S^{-1}A/k} \\ (a \otimes b + I^2)/s &\mapsto a/s \otimes b/1 + I'^2 \\ d_{A/k}(a)/s &\mapsto 1/s \cdot d_{S^{-1}A/k}(a)\end{aligned}$$

The inverse  $\Omega_{S^{-1}A/k} \longrightarrow S^{-1}\Omega_{A/k}$  maps  $d(1/s)$  to  $-1/s^2 \cdot ds$  for any  $s \in S$ .

*Proof.* Set  $B = S^{-1}A$  and let  $\psi : A \longrightarrow B$  be the canonical ring morphism. By Corollary 14 it suffices to show that  $Der_k(B, T) \longrightarrow Der_k(A, T)$  is bijective for any  $B$ -module  $T$ . Let  $D : A \longrightarrow T$  be a derivation of  $A$  over  $k$  into a  $B$ -module  $T$  and define  $E : B \longrightarrow T$  by  $E(a/s) = 1/s \cdot D(a) - a/s^2 \cdot D(s)$ . It is not hard to check that this is a well-defined derivation of  $B$  over  $k$  which extends  $D$ , and is unique with this property. Therefore  $\xi$  is an isomorphism of  $S^{-1}A$ -modules, as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 16.** *Let  $k$  be a ring and  $B$  any localisation of a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra. Then  $\Omega_{B/k}$  is a finitely generated  $B$ -module.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra and  $B = S^{-1}A$  for some multiplicatively closed set  $S$  of  $A$ . By Lemma 7,  $\Omega_{A/k}$  is a finitely generated  $A$ -module and therefore  $\Omega_{S^{-1}A/k} \cong S^{-1}\Omega_{A/k}$  is a finitely generated  $S^{-1}A$ -module, as required.  $\square$

Let  $k$  be a ring,  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra and  $\mathfrak{a}$  an ideal of  $A$ . Set  $B = A/\mathfrak{a}$  and define a map  $\mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B$  by  $x \mapsto d_{A/k}(x) \otimes 1$ . It sends  $\mathfrak{a}^2$  to zero, hence induces a morphism of  $B$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned}\delta : \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2 &\longrightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \\ x + \mathfrak{a}^2 &\mapsto d_{A/k}(x) \otimes 1\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

**Theorem 17.** *Let  $k$  be a ring,  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra and  $\mathfrak{a}$  an ideal of  $A$ . If  $B = A/\mathfrak{a}$  then there is a canonical exact sequence of  $B$ -modules*

$$\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2 \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{v} \Omega_{B/k} \longrightarrow 0\tag{11}$$

Moreover

- (i) Put  $A_1 = A/\mathfrak{a}^2$ . Then  $\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \cong \Omega_{A_1/k} \otimes_{A_1} B$  as  $B$ -modules.
- (ii) The morphism  $\delta$  is a coretraction if and only if the extension  $0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2 \longrightarrow A/\mathfrak{a}^2 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$  of the  $k$ -algebra  $B$  by  $\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2$  is trivial over  $k$ . That is,  $\delta$  is a coretraction if and only if  $A/\mathfrak{a}^2 \longrightarrow B$  is a retraction of  $k$ -algebras.

*Proof.* The surjectivity of  $v$  follows from that of  $A \longrightarrow B$ . Clearly  $v\delta = 0$ , so as in the proof of Theorem 13 to show that (11) is exact it suffices to show that for any  $B$ -module  $T$  the following sequence is exact

$$Der_k(A/\mathfrak{m}, T) \longrightarrow Der_k(A, T) \longrightarrow Hom_A(\mathfrak{a}, T)$$

where we have used the canonical isomorphism of abelian groups  $Hom_B(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2, T) \cong Hom_A(\mathfrak{a}, T)$  and the second map is restriction to  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Exactness of this sequence is obvious, so we have shown that (11) is an exact sequence of  $B$ -modules.

(i) The canonical morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $A \longrightarrow A_1$  induces a morphism of  $A$ -modules  $\Omega_{A/k} \longrightarrow \Omega_{A_1/k}$ , which induces a morphism of  $B$ -modules  $\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \longrightarrow \Omega_{A_1/k} \otimes_{A_1} B$ . To show that this is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that the induced map  $Hom_B(\Omega_{A_1/k} \otimes_{A_1} B, T) \longrightarrow Hom_B(\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B, T)$  is an isomorphism of abelian groups for every  $B$ -module  $T$ , so we have to show that the natural map  $Der_k(A/\mathfrak{a}^2, T) \longrightarrow Der_k(A, T)$  is bijective for every  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ -module  $T$ , which is obvious.

(ii) By (i) we may replace  $A$  by  $A_1$  in (11), so we assume  $\mathfrak{a}^2 = 0$ . Suppose that  $\delta$  has a left inverse  $w : \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ . Putting  $D(a) = w(d_{A/k}(a) \otimes 1)$  for  $a \in A$  we obtain a derivation

$D : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  over  $k$  such that  $D(x) = x$  for  $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ . Then the map  $f : A \rightarrow A$  given by  $f(a) = a - D(a)$  is a morphism of  $k$ -algebras (use  $\mathfrak{a}^2 = 0$ ) which satisfies  $f(\mathfrak{a}) = 0$ , and therefore induces a morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $f' : B \rightarrow A$ , which is clearly a right inverse to  $A \rightarrow B$ .

For the converse, suppose that  $f' : B \rightarrow A$  is a  $k$ -algebra morphism right inverse to  $A \rightarrow B$ , and define  $D(a) = a - f'(a + \mathfrak{a})$ . This is a derivation  $D : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  over  $k$ , which induces a morphism of  $A$ -modules  $h : \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ . Pairing this with the canonical  $B$ -action on  $\mathfrak{a}$  gives the desired left inverse to  $\delta$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.** Let  $k$  be a ring,  $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  for  $n \geq 1$  and let  $B = A/\mathfrak{a}$  where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal of  $A$ . Then  $\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B$  is a free  $B$ -module with basis  $z_i = d_{A/k}(x_i) \otimes 1$  and by Theorem 17 we have an exact sequence of  $B$ -modules

$$\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2 \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{v} \Omega_{B/k} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\delta(f + \mathfrak{a}^2) = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial f / \partial x_i \cdot z_i$$

where  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$  and we use Lemma 8. For example if  $k$  is a field of characteristic zero and  $\mathfrak{a}$  is the principal ideal  $(y^2 - x^3)$  in the polynomial ring  $A = k[x, y]$  then  $B = k[x, y]/(y^2 - x^3)$  is the affine coordinate ring of the plane curve  $y^2 = x^3$ , which has a cusp at the origin.  $\text{Im} \delta$  is the  $B$ -submodule generated by  $-3x^2(dx \otimes 1) + 2y(dy \otimes 1)$ . Therefore  $\Omega_{B/k}$  is isomorphic as a  $B$ -module to the quotient of  $B \oplus B$  by the submodule generated by  $(-3x^2 + \mathfrak{a}, 2y + \mathfrak{a})$ . Therefore  $-3xy \cdot dx + 2x^2 \cdot dy$  is a nonzero torsion element of  $\Omega_{B/k}$  (apply  $x$ ).

**Example 3.** More generally let  $k$  be a ring,  $A$  a  $k$ -algebra and  $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Let  $T$  be a  $B$ -module and let  $D \in \text{Der}_k(A, T)$ . We define a derivation  $E : B \rightarrow T$  over  $k$  in the following way

$$E(f) = \sum_{\alpha} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \cdot D(f(\alpha))$$

That is, apply  $D$  to the coefficients and act with the variables. This shows that the canonical map  $\text{Der}_k(B, T) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(A, T)$  is surjective. It follows from Theorem 13 that we have a split exact sequence of  $B$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{v} \Omega_{B/k} \xrightarrow{u} \Omega_{B/A} \longrightarrow 0$$

The left inverse  $w$  to  $v$  is defined by  $w(d_{B/k}(f)) = \sum_{\alpha} d_{A/k}(f(\alpha)) \otimes x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ . The corresponding right inverse  $p$  to  $u$  is defined by  $p(d_{B/A}(f)) = d_{B/k}(f) - \sum_{\alpha} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \cdot d_{B/k}(f(\alpha))$  and in particular  $p(d_{B/A}(x_i)) = d_{B/k}(x_i)$ . Therefore by Lemma 9 we have a canonical isomorphism of  $B$ -modules

$$\Omega_{B/k} \cong (\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A B) \oplus B d_{B/k}(x_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus B d_{B/k}(x_n) \quad (12)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be an ideal of  $B$  and put  $C = A/\mathfrak{a}$ . Denote by  $z_i$  the element  $d_{B/k}(x_i) \otimes 1$  of  $\Omega_{B/k} \otimes_B C$  and let  $y_i = x_i + \mathfrak{a}$ . Tensoring (12) with  $C$  we have an isomorphism of  $C$ -modules

$$\Omega_{B/k} \otimes_B C \cong (\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A C) \oplus C z_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus C z_n$$

Finally, Theorem 17 gives an exact sequence of  $C$ -modules

$$\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2 \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{B/k} \otimes_B C \xrightarrow{v} \Omega_{C/k} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\delta(f + \mathfrak{a}^2) = \sum_{\alpha} d_{A/k}(f(\alpha)) \otimes y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_n^{\alpha_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \partial f / \partial x_i \cdot z_i$$

where  $f \in \mathfrak{a}$  and we use Lemma 8.



### 3 Separability

**Definition 8.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $K$  an extension field of  $k$ . A transcendence basis  $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of  $K/k$  is called a *separating transcendence basis* if  $K$  is separably algebraic over the field  $k(\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda})$ . We say that  $K$  is *separably generated* over  $k$  if it has a separating transcendence basis.

**Proposition 18.** Let  $k \subseteq K \subseteq L$  be finitely generated field extensions.

- (i) If  $L/K$  is pure transcendental then  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k} + \text{tr.deg.} L/K$ .
- (ii) If  $L/K$  is separable algebraic then  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k}$ .
- (iii) If  $L = K(t)$  where  $t$  is purely inseparable over  $K$  then  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k}$  or  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k} + 1$ .
- (iv) If  $L/K$  is purely inseparable algebraic then  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} \geq \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k}$ .

*Proof.* Notice that if  $K/k$  is a finitely generated field extension then  $\text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k}$  is finite by Corollary 16, so the statement of the result makes sense. (i) Since  $L/K$  is a finitely generated field extension,  $r = \text{tr.deg.} L/K$  is finite. If  $r = 0$  then  $K = L$  and the result is trivial, so assume  $r \geq 1$  and let  $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}$  be a transcendence basis for  $L/K$  with  $L = K(t_1, \dots, t_r)$ . Therefore  $L$  is  $K$ -isomorphic to the quotient field of the polynomial ring  $B = K[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ . By Example (3) we have an isomorphism of  $B$ -modules

$$\Omega_{B/k} \cong (\Omega_{K/k} \otimes_K B) \oplus B dx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B dx_r$$

Localising and using Corollary 15 we get an isomorphism of  $L$ -modules

$$\Omega_{L/k} \cong (\Omega_{K/k} \otimes_K L) \oplus L dt_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L dt_r$$

Therefore  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k} + r$ , as required.

(ii) If  $L = K$  this is trivial, so assume otherwise. Since  $L/K$  is finitely generated and algebraic, it is finite. Therefore  $L/K$  is a finite separable extension, which has a primitive element  $t \in L$  by the primitive element theorem (so  $t \notin K$  and  $L = K(t)$ ). Let  $f$  be the minimum polynomial of  $t$ , and set  $n = \text{deg}(f) \geq 2$ . Then  $1, t, \dots, t^{n-1}$  is a  $K$ -basis for  $L$ . To show that  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k}$  it suffices to show that the canonical morphism of  $L$ -modules  $\Omega_{K/k} \otimes_K L \rightarrow \Omega_{L/k}$  is an isomorphism. By Corollary 14 it is enough to show that the canonical map  $\text{Der}_k(L, T) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(K, T)$  is bijective for any  $L$ -module  $T$ . Injectivity follows from Lemma 10, so let  $D : K \rightarrow T$  be a derivation of  $K$  into  $T$  over  $k$ . We define  $E : L \rightarrow T$  by

$$E(a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_{n-1} t^{n-1}) = D(a_0) + t \cdot D(a_1) + \dots + t^{n-1} \cdot D(a_{n-1})$$

It is not hard to check that this is a derivation of  $L$  into  $T$  over  $k$  extending  $D$ , so the proof is complete.

(iii) Suppose that  $L = K(t)$  where  $t$  is algebraic and purely inseparable over  $K$ . If  $t \in K$  the claim is trivial, so assume otherwise. Let  $\text{char}(k) = p \neq 0$  and  $e \geq 1$  be minimal with  $t^{p^e} = a \in K$ . We claim that

$$\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} = \begin{cases} \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k} + 1 & d_{K/k}(a) = 0 \\ \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k} & d_{K/k}(a) \neq 0 \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

The minimal polynomial of  $t$  over  $K$  is  $f = x^{p^e} - a$  and we have a  $K$ -isomorphism  $K[x]/(f) \cong L$ . Set  $C = K[x]/(f)$  and observe that by Example 3 we have an isomorphism of  $C$ -modules

$$\Omega_{C/k} \cong ((\Omega_{K/k} \otimes_K C) \oplus Cz) / C \delta f$$

where  $\delta f = -d_{K/k}(a) \otimes 1$ . If  $d_{K/k}(a) = 0$  then  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} = \text{rank}_C \Omega_{C/k} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k} + 1$ . Otherwise  $\delta f$  is nonzero and therefore generates a subspace of rank 1. Subtracting this from the rank of  $(\Omega_{K/k} \otimes_K C) \oplus Cz$ , which is  $\text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k} + 1$ , we see that  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} = \text{rank}_C \Omega_{C/k} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k}$ , as required.

(iv) Now assume more generally that  $L/K$  is purely inseparable algebraic, say  $L = K(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ . Then we have a chain of purely inseparable field extensions

$$K \subseteq K(t_1) \subseteq K(t_1, t_2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq K(t_1, \dots, t_n) = L$$

so the result follows immediately from (iii).  $\square$

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $k \subseteq K \subseteq L$  be finitely generated field extensions. Then*

$$\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} \geq \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k} + \text{tr.deg.} L/K$$

with equality if  $L$  is separably generated over  $K$ .

*Proof.* First we establish the inequality. Since  $L/K$  is a finitely generated field extension we can write  $L = K(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  where the first  $r$  generators  $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}$  are a transcendence basis for  $L$  over  $K$ . Let  $Q = K(t_1, \dots, t_r)$  so that  $Q/K$  is pure transcendental and  $L/Q$  is algebraic. By Proposition 18(i) we have  $\text{rank}_Q \Omega_{Q/k} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k} + r$ , so we can reduce to the case where  $L/K$  is algebraic.

If  $K_s$  denotes the set of elements of  $L$  separable over  $K$ , then  $K_s/K$  is a finite separable field extension and  $L/K_s$  is purely inseparable and finitely generated. By Proposition 18(ii) we have  $\text{rank}_{K_s} \Omega_{K_s/K} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k}$  so we reduce to the case where  $L/K$  is purely inseparable algebraic, which is Proposition 18(iv).

If  $L$  is separably generated over  $K$  then find a separating transcendence basis  $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}$  and set  $Q = K(t_1, \dots, t_r)$ . Since  $Q/K$  is pure transcendental and  $L/Q$  is separable algebraic we have by Proposition 18(i) and (ii)

$$\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} = \text{rank}_Q \Omega_{Q/k} = \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k} + r$$

as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 20.** *Let  $L$  be a finitely generated extension of a field  $k$ . Then  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} \geq \text{tr.deg.} L/k$  with equality if and only if  $L$  is separably generated over  $k$ . In particular  $\Omega_{L/k} = 0$  if and only if  $L$  is separably algebraic over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* The inequality is a special case of Theorem 19. Let  $S$  be a transcendence basis of  $L/k$  and set  $Q = k(S)$  (note that  $S$  may be empty), so that  $Q/k$  is pure transcendental and  $L/Q$  is finitely generated algebraic (therefore also finite). Let  $K$  be the set of elements of  $L$  separable over  $Q$ , so that we have  $L/K$  purely inseparable and  $K/Q$  separable. Therefore by Proposition 18 we have

$$k \subseteq Q \subseteq K \subseteq L$$

$$\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} \geq \text{rank}_K \Omega_{K/k} = \text{rank}_Q \Omega_{Q/k} = \text{tr.deg.} L/k$$

If  $L$  is separably generated over  $k$  then we can take  $S$  to be a separating transcendence basis, whence  $K = L$  and we have the desired equality.

For the converse, suppose that  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} = \text{tr.deg.} L/k = r$ . If  $r = 0$  then  $\Omega_{L/k} = 0$  and  $L/k$  is a finitely generated algebraic extension. Therefore  $k = Q$  so  $K/k$  is separable algebraic and  $\Omega_{K/k} = 0$ . We have to show that  $L/k$  is separable algebraic (that is,  $K = L$ ). Suppose otherwise that  $t \in L \setminus K$ . Let  $\text{char}(k) = p \neq 0$  and  $e \geq 1$  be minimal with  $t^{p^e} = a \in K$ . Since  $\Omega_{K/k} = 0$  we have  $d_{K/k}(a) = 0$  and therefore by (13),  $\text{rank}_{K(t)/k} \Omega_{K(t)/k} = 1$ . But  $L/K(t)$  is purely inseparable so  $\text{rank}_L \Omega_{L/k} \geq 1$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore the converse is true for  $r = 0$ . In particular  $\Omega_{L/k} = 0$  if and only if  $L$  is separably algebraic over  $k$ .

Now assume that  $r \geq 1$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_r \in L$  be such that  $\{dx_1, \dots, dx_r\}$  is a basis of  $\Omega_{L/k}$  over  $L$ . Then we have  $\Omega_{L/k(x_1, \dots, x_r)} = 0$  by Theorem 13 so  $L$  is separably algebraic over  $k(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ . Since  $r = \text{tr.deg.} L/k$  the elements  $x_i$  must form a transcendence basis of  $L$  over  $k$ , so the proof is complete.  $\square$

## References

- [Mat80] Hideyuki Matsumura, *Commutative algebra*, second ed., Mathematics Lecture Note Series, vol. 56, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1980. MR MR575344 (82i:13003)